Multiple Antennae

Overview

- Introduction
- Multiple Antennae Orientation Problem: Angle/Range Tradeoffs
 - Upper Bounds
 - Lower Bounds/NP-Hardness
 - Toughness of UDGs and Robust Antennae Range
- Minimum Number of Antennae Orientation Problem
- Conclusions/Open Problems

Introduction

ICDCN, Jan 3, 2012

Orientation Problem

• Given a set S of sensors. Assume that each sensor has k > 1 directional antennae such that the sum is at most φ .

What is the minimum range necessary to create a strongly connected network by appropriatly rotating the antennae?

- Two variants: Transmission angle (spread) is limited to φ , where φ is
 - either the sum of angles for antennae in the same node, or
 - the maximum transmission angle of the antennae.

The Setting

- Set of sensors represented as a set of points S in the 2D plane.
- Each sensor has k directional antennae.
- All antennae have the same transmission range r.
- Each antenna has a max transmission angle, forming a coverage sector up to distance r.
- Typically, we fix k and φ and try to minimize r for a given point set S.

Transmission Range

- $r_{(k,\varphi)-OPT}(S)$ denotes the optimal (shortest) range for which a solution exists.
- $r_{MST}(S)$ is the shortest range r such that UDG(S, r) is connected.

- obviously, $r_{MST}(S) \leq r_{(k,\varphi)-OPT}(S)$

- As establishing $r_{(k,\varphi)-OPT}$ might be NP-hard, we will compare the radius r produced by a solution to r_{MST} .
 - for simplicity, we re-scale S to get $r_{MST} = 1$
 - later, we will discuss comparing to $r_{(k,\varphi)-OPT}$

Angle/Range Tradeoffs: Minimize Sum of Angles

Basic Observations

- Angle between (any two) incident edges of an MST is $\geq \pi/3$.
- For every point set there exists an MST of maximal degree 5.
- All angles incident to a vertex of degree 5 of the MST are between $\pi/3$ and $2\pi/3$ (included).
- **Observation:** with $k \ge 5$ antennae, each of spread 0, there exists a solution with range 1.
- Main method: Locally modify the MST, using various techniques when k is smaller than the degree of the node in the MST to (locally) ensure strong connectivity: Use
 - 1. antenna spread to cover several neighbors by one antenna,
 - 2. neighbour's antennae to locally ensure strong connectivity

opper bounds, sum of Angles					
#	Antennae Spread	Antennae Range	Paper		
1	$0 \leq \varphi < \pi$	2	[4]		
1	$\pi \leq \varphi < 8\pi/5$	$2\sin(arphi/2)$	[2]		
1	$8\pi/5 \leq arphi$	1	[2]		
2	$2\pi/3 \le \varphi < \pi$	$2\cos(arphi/4)$	[1]		
2	$\pi \leq \varphi < 6\pi/5$	$2\sin(2\pi/9)$	[1]		
2	$6\pi/5 \leq \varphi$	1	[1]		
3	$4\pi/5 \le \varphi$	1	[1]		
4	$2\pi/5 \le \varphi$	1	[1]		

Upper Boundar Sum of Angles

Antenna Range 1

- **Theorem.** For any $1 \le k \le 5$, there exists a solution with
 - 1. range 1, and
 - 2. sum of angles $\leq \frac{2(5-k)\pi}{5}$.
- Why?
- Here is the reason, briefly:
 - Consider the MST.
 - Take any vertex of degree 5 (other cases are similar).
 - Exclude k incident (consecutive) angles with sum $\leq 2k\pi/5$.
 - What is left can be covered with an antenna of angle $\leq \frac{2(5-k)\pi}{5}$ and k-1 antennae of angle 0 each.

Two Antennae, $\varphi \geq \pi$, Range $2\sin(2\pi/9)$

- A vertex p is a nearby target vertex to a vertex v ∈ T if
 d(v, p) ≤ 2 sin(2π/9) and p is either a parent or a sibling of v in
 T.
- A subtree T_v of T is *nice* iff for any nearby target vertex p the antennae at vertices of T_v can be set up so that the resulting graph (over vertices of T_v) is strongly connected and p is covered by an antenna from v.
- Theorem. There is a way to set up 2 antennae per vertex, with antenna spread (i.e., sum of antenna angles) of π and range $2\sin(2\pi/9)$ in such a way that the resulting graph is strongly connected.
 - **Proof:** By proving that T_v is nice for all v, by induction on the depth of T_v .



The length $2\sin(2\pi/9)$ arises from the fact that $\min\{\angle(u(1)uu(2)), \angle(u(2)uu(3)), \angle(u(3)u(4))\} \le \frac{4\pi}{9}$

ICDCN, Jan 3, 2012

Angle/Range Tradeoffs: Minimize Max Range

Main Theorem (Upper Bound)

- Consider a set S of n sensors in the plane and suppose each sensor has $k, 1 \le k \le 5$, directional antennae.
 - Then the antennae can be oriented at each sensor so that the resulting spanning graph is strongly connected and the range of each antenna is at most

$$2 \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)$$

times the optimal.

- Moreover, given a MST on the set of points the spanner can be constructed with additional O(n) overhead.

Main Steps: Angle 0

- The more antennae per sensor the easier the proof.
- Algorithm is in three steps.
 - 1. 4 Antennae: Spread 0, Range $2\sin(\pi/5)$
 - 2. 3 Antennae: Spread 0, Range $2\sin(\pi/4)$
 - 3. 2 Antennae: Spread 0, Range $2\sin(\pi/3)$
- Details of complete algorithm too technical to present here!
- Lets outline the ideas for the proof of Item 1.

Main Idea: Angle 0

• Idea:

The basic antenna orientation algorithm;

- By induction on the depth of the MST T;
- We avoid connecting child solutions to the parent, instead
 - 1. remove all leaves,
 - 2. apply induction hypothesis to the resulting tree,
 - 3. return back the leaves and show how to connect them to the original structure.

• NB:

Since the spread is 0, a solution can be represented as a directed graph \overrightarrow{G} with maximum out-degree k and edge lengths at most $2\sin(\frac{\pi}{k+1})$.

Example: 4 Antennae, Spread 0, Range $2\sin(\pi/5)$ Induction hypothesis: Let T be an MST of a point set of radius at most x. Then, there exists a solution \overrightarrow{G} for T such that:

- the out-degree of u in \overrightarrow{G} is one for each leaf u of T
- every edge of T incident to a leaf is contained in \overrightarrow{G}





ICDCN, Jan 3, 2012

Jummary of Complete Fleture. Opper Dound						
#	Antennae Spread	Antennae Range	Paper			
1	$0 \le \varphi < \pi$	2	[4]			
1	$\pi \leq \varphi < 8\pi/5$	$2\sin(\pi-arphi/2)$	[2]			
1	$8\pi/5 \leq arphi$	1	[2]			
2	$0 \le \varphi < 2\pi/3$	$\sqrt{3}$	[3]			
2	$2\pi/3 \le \varphi < \pi$	$2\sin(\pi/2 - \varphi/4)$	[1]			
2	$\pi \le \varphi < 6\pi/5$	$2\sin(2\pi/9)$	[1]			
2	$6\pi/5 \leq \varphi$	1	[1]			
3	$0 \le \varphi < 4\pi/5$	$\sqrt{2}$	[3]			
3	$4\pi/5 \le \varphi$	1	[1]			
4	$0 \le \varphi < 2\pi/5$	$2\sin(\pi/5)$	[3]			
4	$2\pi/5 \le \varphi$	1	[1]			

Summary of Complete Picture: Upper Bounds

ICDCN, Jan 3, 2012

Lower Bounds

Is the Result Optimal?

- Consider a regular k + 1-star.
 - With angle less then $\frac{2\pi}{k+1}$, the central vertex cannot reach all leaves using k antennae, hence a leaf must connect to another leaf, using range at least $2\sin(\frac{\pi}{k+1})$.
 - Hence results for spread 0 are optimal \ldots
 - ... with respect to r_{MST} .
- But what about $r_{(k,\varphi)-OPT}$?
- In regular k + 1-star also $r_{(k,\varphi)-OPT}$ is large!

Main Theorem (Lower Bound)

• For k = 2 antennae.

– Let x and α be the solutions of equations

$$x = 2\sin(\alpha) = 1 + 2\cos(2\alpha)$$

(Note: $x \approx 1.30, \alpha \approx 0.45\pi$.)

- If the angular sum of the antennae is less then α then it is NP-hard to approximate the optimal radius to within a factor of x.
- The proof is by reduction from the problem of finding Hamiltonian cycles in degree three planar graphs.

Key Gadgets

Take a degree three planar graph G = (V, E) and replace each vertex v_i by a vertex-graph (meta-vertex) G_{v_i} shown in Figure 1a. Furthermore, replace each edge $e = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle$ of G by an edge-graph (meta-edge) G_e shown in Figure 1b.



(a) Vertex graph (The dotted ovals delimit the three parts.)



(b) Edge graph (The connecting vertices are black.)

Figure 1: Meta-vertex and meta-edge for the NP completeness proof

ICDCN, Jan 3, 2012

Embed Resulting Graph in the Plane:

1) Distance (in the embedding) between neighbours in G' is $\leq 1, 2$) the distance between non-neighbours in G' is $\geq x$, and 3) the smallest angle between incident edges in G' is $\geq \alpha$.



Figure 2: Connecting meta-edges with meta-vertices (The dashed ovals show the places where embedding is constrained.)

ICDCN, Jan 3, 2012

Key Observations

- Each meta-vertex must have at least incoming and one outgoing meta-edge
- Each meta-vertex can have at most one outgoing meta-edge
- Hence each meta-vertex has exactly one outgoing and one incoming meta-edge

What we know so far					
Out	Lower	Upper	Approx.	Complexity	
degree	Bound	Bound	Ratio		
4	r_{MST}	$2\sin(\pi/5)r_{MST}$	$2\sin(\pi/5)$	Polynomial	
3	r_{MST}	$2\sin(\pi/4)r_{MST}$	$\sqrt{2}$	Polynomial	
2	r_{MST}	$2\sin(\pi/3)r_{MST}$	$\sqrt{3}$	Polynomial	
2	_	-	≤ 1.3	NP-Complete	

Toughness of Antennae and Robust Range (Cases k = 3, 4)

Lower Bounds for k = 3 and k = 4: Main Idea

- For a pointset P: How robust is the radius r to point deletions?
- For $S \subseteq P$, let $r_k(S) :=$ "smallest radius r s.t, $UDG(P \setminus S, r)$ does not contain a (k+1)|S| connected components".
- Obviously, $r_k(S) \le r_{(k,0)-OPT}(S)$. Is $r_k(S) = r_{(k,0)-OPT}(S)$?
- $r_3(S) < r_{(3,0)-OPT}(S)!$ E.g., take $S = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}.$



• How about $r_4(S) = r_{(4,0)-OPT}(S)$?

ICDCN, Jan 3, 2012

Tougness of UDGs

- The concept of toughness of a graph as a measure of graph connectivity has been extensively studied in the literature.
- Intuitively, graph toughness measures the resilience of the graph to fragmentation after subgraph removal.
- A graph G is t-tough if $|S| \ge t\omega(G \setminus S)$, for every subset S of the vertex set of G with $\omega(G \setminus S) > 1$.
- The toughness of G, denoted $\tau(G)$, is the maximum value of t for which G is t-tough (taking $\tau(K_n) = \infty$, for all $n \ge 1$).
- We are interested in the toughness of UDGs over a given point set P, and in particular how does the toughness of U(P,r) depends on the radius r.

New Concept: Robust Range

Definition 1 [Strong and Weak t-robustness for UDG radius] Let P be a set of points in the plane.

- 1. A subset $S \subseteq P$ is called t-tough if $\omega(U(P \setminus S; r)) \leq |S|/t$. Similarly, a point u is called t-tough if the singleton $\{u\}$ is t-tough.
- 2. The strong t-robustness of the set of points P, denoted by $\sigma_t(P)$, is the infimum taken over all radii r > 0 such that for all $S \subseteq P$, the set S is t-tough for the radius r.
- 3. The weak t-robustness of the set of points P, denoted by $\alpha_t(P)$, is the infimum taken over all radii r > 0 such that for all $u \in P$, the point u is t-tough for the radius r.

31

Main Result

- **Theorem.** We have
 - 1. $\sigma_{1/k}(P) \leq r_k(P)$, for all k.
 - 2. For any set P of points, $\alpha_{1/4}(P) = \sigma_{1/4}(P)$.
 - 3. For every point of P, weak 1/i-robustness, for $1 \le i < 5$, can be computed in time $O(|P| \log |P|)$.
- In particular,
 - 1. the optimal range for the 4 antennae orientation problem (strong connectivity) can be solved in $O(n \log n)$ time,
 - 2. a $2\sin(2\pi/9)$ approximation to the optimal range for the 3 antennae orientation problem can be solved in $O(n \log n)$ time.

Summary of Results					
	Out	Lower	Upper	Approx.	Complexity
	degree	Bound	Bound	Ratio	
	4	$\sigma_{1/4}$	$lpha_{1/4}$	1	$O(n\log n)$
	3	$\sigma_{1/3}$	$2\sin(2\pi/9)\alpha_{1/3}$	$\leq 2\sin(2\pi/9)$	$O(n\log n)$

Conclusions/Open Problems

- There are still gaps between the lower and upper bounds, especially for non-zero φ
- The x and φ in the NP-hardness results might possibly be improved
- Consider different model variants
 - directional receivers
 - temporal aspects (antennae steering, ...)
- and different problems...

Minimum Number of Antennae

Antenna Orientation Problem

• Given a connected network formed by a set of sensors with omnidirectional antennae and an angle $\varphi \geq 0$.

Compute the minimum number of arcs in the network in such a way that the resulting network is strongly connected and the stretch factor does not depend on the size of the network.

- Two variants:
 - Notice that you must respect the underlying network.
 - Can consider angle/range tradeoffs.

Orienting Edges of Undirected Graph with Original Range

- Orient every edge in both directions
 - stretch factor 1 but 2|E| arcs
- Orient edges along a Hamiltonian cycle (if it exists)
 - $\ |V|$ arcs but unbounded stretch factor
- (Roberts, 1935) Strong Orientation Procedure
 - 1. label vertices 1..n according to DFT T
 - 2. orient ij as $i \to j$ iff $ij \in T$ and i < j
 - 3. orient ij as $i \to j$ iff $ij \notin T$ and i > j
- (Robbins, 1939) G has a strong orientation iff it is connected and 2-edge connected.
- (Nash-Williams, 1960) Every G has an orientation D so that $\forall u, v \in V, \lambda_D(u, v) \ge \lfloor \frac{1}{2}\lambda_G(u, v) \rfloor$, where $\lambda(u, v)$ is the number of u v paths

Strong Orientation Algorithms

Can give algorithms to strongly orient a given (planr) graph G = (V, E) for^a

- More than |E| edges
- Exactly |E| edges
- Less than |E| edges

^aE. Kranakis, O. Morales Ponce, L. Stacho. Strong Orientations of Planar Graphs with Bounded Stretch Factor. In proceedings of 17th SIROCCO (Colloquium on Structural Information and Communication Complexity) 2010. LNCS 6058 Springer.

Orientation Algorithms (More than |E| Edges)

 Theorem. Let G = (V, E) be a plane 2-edge connected graph with a face λ-coloring. Then it has a strong orientation with at most

$$\left(2 - \frac{4\lambda - 6}{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}\right) \cdot |E|$$

arcs and stretch factor at most $\phi(G) - 1$, where $\phi(G) = \max$ number of edges of a face of G.

Orientation Algorithms (Exactly |E| Edges)

 Theorem. Let G = (V, E) be a plane 2-edge connected graph with a face λ-coloring. Then it has a strong orientation with exactly |E| arcs and stretch factor at most

$$(\phi(G)-1)^{\lceil\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\rceil}.$$

Orientation Algorithms (Less than |E| Edges)

• **Theorem.** Let G = (V, E) be a plane 3-edge connected graph. Then it has a strong orientation with at most

$$\left(1 - \frac{k}{10(k+1)}\right) \cdot |E|$$

arcs and stretch factor at most $\phi(G)^2 \cdot (\phi(G) - 1)^{2k+4}$, for any $k \ge 1$.

References

- B. Bhattacharya, Y Hu, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, and Q. Shi. Sensor Network Connectivity with Multiple Directional Antennae of a Given Angular Sum. 23rd IEEE IPDPS 2009, May 25-29, 2009.
- [2] I. Caragiannis, C. Kaklamanis, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, and
 A. Wiese. Communication in Wireless Networks with Directional Antennae. In proceedings of 20th ACM SPAA, Munich, Germany, June 14 - 16, 2008.
- [3] S. Dobrev, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, J. Opatrny, O. Morales Ponce, and L. Stacho. Strong connectivity in sensor networks with given number of directional antennae of bounded angle. In Weili Wu and Ovidiu Daescu, editors, COCOA (2), volume 6509 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 72–86. Springer, 2010.
- [4] R.G. Parker and R.L. Rardin. Guaranteed performance heuristics for the bottleneck traveling salesman problem. Oper. Res. Lett, 2(6):269-272, 1984.