
Corner (Interest Point) Detection

COMP 4102A

Winter 2014

Gerhard Roth

Version 1

Motivation: Corners for Recognition






Image search: find the book in an image.



Motivation: Corners for Recognition




Corners for Augmented Reality



Motivation: Corners for Robotics




Motivation: 2D map built using corners



Motivation: Build a panorama



Input images



Output panorama 1



Motivation: Build a Panorama





How do we build panorama?

We need to match (align) images




Matching with Corners

- Detect corner points in both images



Matching with Corners

- Detect corner points in both images
- Find corresponding corner pairs by comparing the corner descriptors



Matching with Corners

- Detect feature points in both images
- Find corresponding corner pairs by comparing the corner descriptors
- Use these pairs to align images



More motivation...

Corner points are used also for:

- Image alignment (homography, fundamental matrix)
- 3D reconstruction, Object recognition, Indexing and database retrieval, Robot navigation, ... other

Corners define repeatable points for matching

Not just intersection of two lines (pure corner) but pixels which have a “corner like” structure


Corners sometimes called interest points because pixels that are “corner like” are interesting

Observe that in the region around a corner the gradient has two or more distinct values


Corner Feature

Corners are image locations that have large intensity changes in more than one direction


For a pixel which is a corner shifting a window centered on that pixel in *any direction* should give a *large change* in the average intensity in that window.




Harris Detector: Basic Idea



“flat” region:
no change in
all directions






“edge”:
no change along
the edge direction




“corner”:
significant change
in all directions

Examples of Corner Features



Corners in Calibration pattern




Corners in a Basement room



Change of Intensity

The intensity change at a given pixel in the direction (u,v) can be quantified by **sum-of-squared-difference (SSD)** of all pixels in a nbhd of that window, and the associated pixel shifted by (u,v) .

$$D(u, v) = \sum_{i, j} \left(I(i + u, j + v) - I(i, j) \right)^2$$



Here i, j ranges over all the pixels in the nbhd.

The difference between the original pixel and shifted pixel in nbhd is summed.

Different $D(u,v)$ function exists for every pixel in the nbhd.

Change Approximation

If u and v are small, by Taylor's theorem:

$$I(i+u, j+v) \approx I(i, j) + I_{x(i,j)}u + I_{y(i,j)}v$$

$$\text{where } I_{x(i,j)} = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{y(i,j)} = \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}$$

Therefore for any i, j and u, v

$$\begin{aligned} (I(i+u, j+v) - I(i, j))^2 &= (I(i, j) + I_{x(i,j)}u + I_{y(i,j)}v - I(i, j))^2 \\ &= (I_{x(i,j)}u + I_{y(i,j)}v)^2 \\ &= I_{x(i,j)}^2 u^2 + 2I_{x(i,j)}I_{y(i,j)}uv + I_{y(i,j)}^2 v^2 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{x(i,j)}^2 & I_{x(i,j)}I_{y(i,j)} \\ I_{x(i,j)}I_{y(i,j)} & I_{y(i,j)}^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Gradient Variation Matrix

$$D(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sum I_x^2 & \sum I_x I_y \\ \sum I_x I_y & \sum I_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

This function is a rotated ellipse. Ellipse $D(u, v) = \text{const}$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \sum I_x^2 & \sum I_x I_y \\ \sum I_x I_y & \sum I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix C characterizes how intensity changes in a certain direction. Each entry is computed by summing the appropriate values over every pixel in the neighbourhood around the given pixel

Eigenvalue Analysis – simple case

First, consider case of a corner/edge which is aligned with the x and y axis so we have:

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \sum I_x^2 & \sum I_x I_y \\ \sum I_x I_y & \sum I_y^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

This means dominant gradient directions align with x or y axis

If either λ is close to 0, then this is **not** a corner, so look for locations where both are large.

The bigger the smallest λ the more “corner like” is that pixel in the image

Eigenvalue Analysis – simple case

$$D(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D(u, v) = \lambda_1 u^2 + \lambda_2 v^2$$

Here λ_1 is the rate of change in direction of u

λ_2 is the rate of change in direction of v

If both λ are small, we have a constant region,

If only one λ is large have an edge,

If both λ large is a corner (smallest λ is large)

General Case - Diagonalization


It can be shown that since C is symmetric it can be diagonalized, which means finding matrix Q to rotate and rewrite C as:

$$C = Q^T \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} Q$$


So every C is simply a rotated version of the simple case:

Other eigenvector is in the direction of the slowest change

One eigenvector is in the direction of the fastest change



Harris Detector



Harris Detector

Find points where smallest eigenvalue is $>$ threshold



Harris Detector – non maxima suppression


Take only the points of local maxima of the smallest eigenvalue



Harris Detector




Gradient Orientation



Corner Detection Summary

- if this is a region of constant intensity, both eigenvalues will be very small.
- if it contains an edge, there will be one large and one small eigenvalue (the eigenvector associated with the large eigenvalue will be parallel to the image gradient).
- if it contains edges at two or more orientations (i.e., a corner), there will be two large eigenvalues (the eigenvectors will be parallel to the image gradients).
- Eigenvectors encode edge directions, eigenvalues edge strength



Corner Detection Algorithm

Algorithm

Input: image f , threshold t for λ_2 , size of Q

(1) Compute the gradient over the entire image f

(2) For each image point p :

(2.1) form the matrix C over the neighborhood Q of p

(2.2) compute λ_2 , the smaller eigenvalue of C

(2.3) if $\lambda_2 > t$, save the coordinates of p in a list L


(3) Sort the list in decreasing order of λ_2

(4) Scanning the sorted list top to bottom: delete all the points that appear in the list that are in the same neighborhood Q with p

Step (3) and (4) is a type of non-maxima suppression (can be done in other ways)

Harris Detector Rotation Invariance


- Detection is invariant to rotation in the image plane (why?)
- These are different than rotations out of the camera plane!



Ellipse rotates but its shape (i.e. eigenvalues) remains the same

Harris Detector Rotation Invariance

- Repeatability with image plane rotation



Comparing corners – Corner descriptor

- To match corners extract a description of the corner (this is also called a corner descriptor)
 - Need this to compare two corners in different images
 - Use the set of pixels in small nbhd around the corner and compute a high dimensional vector from these pixels
 - Up to you what you compute, but it should be invariant!
- Can simply use the pixels in a neighbourhood around each corner as the descriptor
 - To compare two descriptors take the sum of squares difference of pixels in a small window around each corner
- This is a very easy but is not invariant
 - There are better corner descriptors than using raw pixels
 - Want invariance for the corner detection process and for the descriptor associated with each corner

Invariance of Corner Detector

- Invariance is desirable but not easy to get
- Both corner detection process and descriptor must be invariant (these are different things!)
 - Often have some type of invariance (but not every type)
 - Harris corners detection is invariant to rotations and translations in the camera plane
 - The simplest descriptor consisting of the actual pixels in the pixel nbhd have no rotation invariance
- Adding invariance due to lighting increase/decrease is very easy
 - Just take the average pixel value in nbhd, and subtract it before comparing the two nbhds of a descriptor

Invariance to scale and orientation

- These are critical for matching tasks
 - Scale is distance from object, orientation is viewing angle
- Descriptors invariant to scale have been created (called SIFT or SURF)
- Work by creating a scale space and finding the natural scale for the feature
 - Done by smoothing with Gaussian of different size and tracking features across scale
- Implies we can match SIFT/SURF descriptors at different scales and orientations

Blur and Lighting Change




Orientation and Zoom/Rotation



SIFT/SURF Features – in OpenCV



- Both the feature detection and the feature descriptor are invariant to scale
- Means the pixels used to compute the feature descriptor change with the feature scale




- Box around the feature changes scale appropriately

Scale Invariance – Find natural scale!

Bad Scale choice
means wrong
descriptor



Good scale choice
Means correct
Descriptor





Harris relative to SIFT/SURF features

- For each feature Harris detector returns
 - Pixel location, corner strength and corner orientation
 - Size of nbhd used for the feature descriptor is not specified
- **SIFT/SURF are scale invariant so they also**
 - Include a scale (size of the nbhd window around the feature)
 - Compute a descriptor of feature from pixels in this nbhd
 - In other words, the nbhd changes to cover the same pixels as we change the distance of the camera to the feature
- **So SURF/SIFT descriptor is invariant to scale**
 - As we change camera distance still have the same corner descriptor because pixels in the nbhd change appropriately
 - This is scale invariance, not true for Harris corners


Small motions/large motions

- Consider two images
 - Extract corners (SIFT/SURF or Harris) and then match using some feature descriptor
- Harris features work only for some motions (rotation in camera plane, translation)
 - Descriptors usually pixels in a small nbhd around the corner
- SIFT/SURF features work for larger motions, and for different types of motions
 - Can handle blur, lighting, compression, all motion in the camera plane, and some motions out of the camera plane
 - But they are slower to compute and the matching of the descriptors associated with each feature is also slower


Successful SIFT/SURF matching




Viewpoint Change - SURF




Increasing Blur - SURF




Scale/Rotate in Camera Plane - SURF



Decreasing Brightness - SURF



JPEG Compression - SURF



Harris Corners

- Are the simplest corners to find which
 - Can be extracted in real-time and are they are invariant to translation and rotation in image plane
 - They are not invariant to scale, only good for small motion
- Finding corners is only half the work
 - To match you need a corner descriptor which is a high dimensional vector derived from the pixels in a window centered at that corner pixel (what size is the window?)
- Both the corner location and the corner descriptor should be as invariant as possible
 - Invariant to scale, orientation, lighting, etc.
 - Should find same corners and very similar descriptor
 - Scale invariant corners now exist and are common
 - David Lowe (UBC) created SIFT corners, SURF is faster SIFT