







States S={INITIATOR, IDLE Sinit = {INITIATOR, IDLE} Sterm = {DONE}	, ACTIVE, DONE}
INITIATOR Spontaneusly root:= true Tree-neighbours := { } send(Q) to N(x) counter:=0 become ACTIVE	IDLE receiving(Q) root:= false parent := sender Tree-neighbours := {sender} send(yes) to sender counter := 1 if counter = N(x) then become DONE else send(Q) to N(x) - {sender} become ACTIVE











	Message Complexity - worst case			
Total n	. of NO:	<u>no</u>		
	as m	any as QQ	2(m - (n-1))	
Total	n. of YES:			
(yes→		\supset	
Exactly: (n-1)				

Message Complexity - worst case

2m - n + 1 + 2(m - (n-1)) + n-1 = 2m -n +1+2m -2n +2 +n - 1 = 4m -2n + 2

Messages(SHOUT) = 4m - 2n + 2

In fact: M(SHOUT) = 2 M(FLOOD) = 2(2m-n+1)

 $\Omega(m)$ is a lower bound also in this case



States S={INITIATOR, IDLE Sinit = {INITIATOR, IDLE} Sterm = {DONE}	, ACTIVE, DONE}
INITIATOR Spontaneusly root:= true Tree-neighbours := { } send(Q) to N(x) counter:=0 become ACTIVE	IDLE receiving(Q) root:= false parent := sender Tree-neighbours := {sender} send(yes) to sender counter := 1 if counter = N(x) then become DONE else send(Q) to N(x) - {sender become ACTIVE







States S={INITIATOR, IDLE Sinit = {INITIATOR, IDLE} Sterm = {DONE}	, ACTIVE, DONE}
INITIATOR	IDLE
Spontaneusly	receiving(Q)
root:= true Tree-neighbours := { } send(Q) to N(x) counter:= 0 ack-counter:= 0 become ACTIVE	root:= false parent := sender Tree-neighbours := {sender} send(yes) to sender counter := 1 ack-counter:= 0 if counter = N(x) then CHECK else
	send(Q) to N(x) - {sender} become ACTIVE





CHECK

If I am a leaf

(* that is: Children:= Tree-neighbours - {parent}

if Children = emptyset *) send(Ack) to parent









One version

 When first visited, remember who sent, forward the token to one of the unvisited neighbours wait for its reply

 When neighbour receives, *if already visited*, it will return the token saying it is a back edge *otherwise*, will forward it (sequentially) to all its unvisited neighbour before returning it

3) If there are no more unvisited neighbours, return the token (reply) to the node from which it first received the token

4) Upon reception of reply, forward the token to another unvisited neighbour







DF+ Complexity	Message
Messages: Token, Returr	n, Visited, Ack (ok)
Each entity (except init): rece	eives 1 Token, sends 1 Return:
	2(n-1)
Each entity:	
1 visited to all neig	hbours except the sender
	Let s be
$ N(s) + \Sigma (N(x) -1)$	the initiator
X≠ S	
= 2m - (n-1)	
(same for Ack)	
	TOT: 4m

DF+ Complexity	Time (ideal time)
Token and Return are sent sequer	ntially: 2(n-1)
Visited and Ack are done in parall	el: 2n
	TOT: 4n -2

Summarizing:			
	DF Traversal		
	Messages	Ideal Time	
DF:	2m	2m	
DF+:	4m	4n -2	
		1	



DF++ Complexity In the worst case there is a "mistake" on each link except for the tree links Messages = 4m -(n-1) BUT when we measure ideal time: "mistakes" will not happen Time = 2(n-1)

Summary			
	Messages	Ideal Time	
DF:	2m	2m	
DF+:	4m	4n -2	
DF++	4m-n+1	2n+1	

Observations

Time ...

Termination ...

An application: access permission problems, e.g., Mutual Exclusion

Any Traversal does a Broadcast (not very efficient) The reverse is not true. Another Traversal: Smart Traversal

1- Build a Spanning Tree with SHOUT+

Messages = 2m

2- Perform DF Traversal

Messages = 2(n-1)

Total Messages = 2(m+n-1)

Another Traversal: Smart Traversal

1- Build a Spanning Tree with SHOUT+

Time ≤ d+1 d: diameter

2- Perform DF Traversal

Time = 2(n-1)

Total Time ≤ 2n+d-1

Summary			
	Messages	Ideal Time	
DF:	2m	2m	
DF+:	4m	4n -2	
DF++	4m-n+1	2n+1	
Smart	2m+2n-2	2n+d-1	
		I	





