Approximating the Edge Length of 2-Edge Connected Planar Geometric Graphs on a Set of Points* (Extended Version)

Stefan Dobrev¹, Evangelos Kranakis², Danny Krizanc³, Oscar Morales-Ponce⁴, and Ladislav Stacho⁵

¹ Institute of Mathematics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovak Republic. Supported in part by VEGA and APVV grants.

² School of Computer Science, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, K1S 5B6, Canada. Supported in part by NSERC and MITACS grants.

³ Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wesleyan University, Middletown CT 06459, USA.

⁴ School of Computer Science, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, K1S 5B6, Canada. Supported by MITACS Postdoctoral Fellowship.

⁵ Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada, V5A 1S6. Supported in part by NSERC grant.

Abstract. Given a set *P* of *n* points in the plane, we solve the problems of constructing a geometric planar graph spanning *P* 1) of minimum degree 2, and 2) which is 2-edge connected, respectively, and has max edge length bounded by a factor of 2 times the optimal; we also show that the factor 2 is best possible given appropriate connectivity conditions on the set *P*, respectively. First, we construct in $O(n \log n)$ time a geometric planar graph of minimum degree 2 and max edge length bounded by 2 times the optimal. This is then used to construct in $O(n \log n)$ time a 2-edge connected geometric planar graph spanning *P* with max edge length bounded by $\sqrt{5}$ times the optimal, assuming that the set *P* forms a connected Unit Disk Graph. Second, we prove that 2 times the optimal is always sufficient if the set of points forms a 2 edge connected Unit Disk Graph and give an algorithm that runs in $O(n^2)$ time. We also show that for $k \in O(\sqrt{n})$, there exists a set *P* of *n* points in the plane such that even though the Unit Disk Graph spanning *P* is *k*-vertex connected, there is no 2-edge connected geometric planar graph spanning *P* even if the length of its edges is allowed to be up to 17/16.

1 Introduction

Consider a set of points *P* in the plane in general position, and a real number $r \ge 0$, the radius. The geometric graph U(P,r) is the graph spanning *P* in which two vertices are joined by a straight line iff their (Euclidean) distance is at most *r*. Note that the geometric graph U(P,1) is the well known unit disk graph on *P*, and in fact U(P,r) is a unit disk graph for any *r* when *r* is considered to be the unit.

^{*} This is the extended version of a paper with the same title that will appear in the proceedings of the 10th Latin American Theoretical Informatics Symposium (LATIN 2012), April 16-20, 2012, Arequipa, Peru.

The main focus of this paper is to find 2-edge connected geometric free crossing (or planar) graphs on a set of points such that the longest edge is minimum. Recall that a graph G is 2-edge connected if the removal of any edge does not disconnect G. Several routing algorithms have been designed for planar subgraphs of Unit Disk Graphs, for example [14], which are widely accepted as models for wireless ad-hoc networks. Therefore it would be essential for the robustness of routing algorithms to construct such geometric graphs with "stronger" connectivity characteristics.

Observe that the optimal length of any 2-edge connected geometric planar graph on a set of points P is at least the min radius to construct a 2-edge connected UDG on P possible with crosses. Thus, we can raphase the problem as follows: For what connectivity assumptions on U(P,1) and for what r does the geometric graph U(P,r)have a 2-edge connected geometric planar subgraph spanning P? Clearly, r gives an approximation to the optimal range when the connectivity of U(P,1) is at most 2-edge connected.

1.1 Related work

Two well-known constructions are related to this problem. If U(P,1) is connected, then the well-known Gabriel Test (see [5] and [13]) will result in a planar subgraph of U(P,1). However, 2-edge connectivity is not guaranteed. Alternatively, the wellknown Delaunay Triangulation on P will result in a 2-edge connected planar subgraph of U(P,r). However the radius r (the length of the longest edge of this triangulation) is not necessarily bounded.

Abellanas et al. [1] give a polynomial algorithm which augments any geometric planar graph to 2-vertex connected or 2-edge connected geometric planar graph, respectively, but no bounds are given on the length of the augmented edges. Tóth [12] improves the bound on the number of necessary edges in such augmentations, and Rutter and Wolff [11] prove that it is NP-hard to determine the minimum number of edges that have to be added in such augmentations.

Tóth and Valter [3] characterize geometric planar graphs that can be augmented to 3-edge connected planar graphs. Later Al-Jubeh et al. [2] gave a tight upper bound on the number of added edges in such augmentations. Finally, García et al. [6] show how to construct a 3-connected geometric planar graph on a set of points in the planar with the minimum number of straight line edges of unbounded length.

A related problem is studied in [9]. The authors prove that it is NP-hard to decide whether $U(P, \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2})$ contains a spanning planar graph of minimum degree 2 even if U(P, 1) itself has minimum degree 2. They also posed and studied the problem of finding the minimum radius *r* so that U(P, r) has a geometric planar spanning subgraph of minimum degree 3 provided that U(P, 1) has a spanning subgraph of minimum degree 3.

Closely related is the research by Kranakis et al. [8] which shows that if U(P, 1) is connected then U(P, 3) has a 2-edge connected geometric planar spanning subgraph. The construction starts from a minimum spanning tree of U(P, 1) which in turn is augmented to a 2-edge connected geometric planar spanning subgraph of U(P, 3). In the same paper several other constructions are given (starting from more general connected

planar subgraphs) and also bounds are given on the minimum number of augmented edges required. However, the question of providing an algorithm for constructing the smallest r > 0 such that U(P, r) has a 2-edge connected geometric planar spanning subgraph remained open. This question turns out to be the main focus of our current study.

Our problem is also related to the well-known bottleneck traveling salesman problem, i.e. finding a Hamiltonian cycle that minimizes the length of the longest edge, since such a cycle is 2 edge conected (but not necessarily planar). Parker et al. [10] gave a 2-approximation algorithm for this problem and also showed that there is no better algorithm unless P = NP. There is also literature on constructing 2 edge connected subgraphs with minimum number of edges. In [4] it is proved that given a 2-edge connected graph there is an algorithm running in time O(mn) which finds a 2-edge connected spanning subgraph whose number of edges is 17/12 times the optimal, where *m* is the number of edges and *n* the number of vertices of the graph. An improvement is provided in [15] in which a 4/3 approximation algorithm is given. Later, Jothi et al. [7] provided a 5/4-approximation algorithm. However in these results the resulting spanning subgraphs are not guaranteed to be planar.

1.2 Contributions and outline of the paper

We start with Section 2, where we give the notation and provide some concepts which are useful for the proofs. In Section 3 we prove that if U(P,1) has minimum degree 2, then U(P,2) contains a spanning geometric planar subgraph with minimum degree 2. Note that these subgraphs are not necessarily connected. An algorithm that runs in time $O(n \log n)$ to find such a subgraph is presented as well. In Section 4 we prove that if U(P,1) is connected and has minimum degree 2, then $U(P,\sqrt{5})$ contains a 2-edge connected spanning geometric planar subgraph and we give a corresponding algorithm that runs in time $O(n \log n)$. In section 5 we combine results from previous sections and prove the main theorem of the paper by showing that if U(P,1) is 2-edge connected, then U(P,2) contains a 2-edge connected spanning geometric planar subgraph. A corresponding algorithm that runs in time $O(n^2)$ is presented as well. We also show that all the bounds are tight. In Section 6 we show that there exists a set P of n points in the plane so that U(P,1) is k-vertex connected, $k \in O(\sqrt{n})$, but even U(P,17/16) does not contain any 2-edge connected spanning geometric planar subgraph.

2 Preliminaries and Notation

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph. As usual we represent an undirected edge as $\{u, v\}$ and a directed edge with head u and tail v as (u, v). A vertex $v \in V$ is a cut-vertex of G if its removal disconnects G. Similarly an edge $\{u, v\} \in E$ is a cut-edge or bridge if its removal disconnects G. We denote the line segment between two points x and y by xy and their (Euclidean) distance by d(x, y). Let C(x; r) denote the circle of radius r centered at x, and let D(x; r) denote the disk of radius r centered at x.

Before we proceed with the main results of the paper we introduce the concepts of *Tie* and *Bow* that will help to distinguish various crossings in the proof of the main results.

Definition 1. We say that four points u, v, x, y form a Tie, denoted by Tie(u; v, x, y), if uv crosses xy, x and y are outside of D(u; d(u, v)) and u is outside of D(x; d(x, y)). The point u is called the tip of the Tie and xy the crossing line of $\{u, v\}$. See Figure 4a.

Lemma 1. Let u, v, x, y form a Tie(u; v, x, y). Then, $\pi/3 \le \angle (uvx) < 2\pi/3$ and $\pi/3 \le \angle (yvu) < 2\pi/3$.

Proof. Consider the angle $\angle(yvx)$. Observe that $\angle(yvx) \ge \pi/2$ since by Definition 1, $x, y \notin D(x; d(x, y))$ and uv crosses xy. Therefore, $d(x, y) > \max(d(x, v), d(v, y))$. Also from Definition 1, d(u, x) > d(x, y). Therefore, $\angle(uvx) \ge \pi/3$ since it is the largest angle in the triangle $\triangle(uvx)$. It remains to prove that $\angle(yvu) \ge \pi/3$ and the result follows since $\angle(yvx) < \pi$. For the sake of contradiction assume that $\angle(yvu) < \pi/3$; see Figure 1. From Definition 1, d(u, v) < d(u, y). Hence, $\angle(uyv) < \angle(vuy)$ and consequently $\angle(vuy)$ is the largest angle in $\triangle(vuy)$. Therefore, $\angle(xuy) > \angle(vuy) > \angle(uyv) > \angle(uyx)$ which implies that d(x, y) > d(u, x). This contradicts Definition 1.



Fig. 1: If u, v, x, y form a Tie(u; v, x, y), then $\angle (yvx) \ge 2\pi/3$.

Lemma 2. Let u, v, x, y form a Tie(u; v, x, y) and u' be a point. (i) If u'v crosses ux, then u', v, u, x cannot form a Tie. (ii) If u'x crosses uv, then u', x, u, v cannot form a Tie.

Proof. (i) Arguing by contradiction, assume that u'v and ux form a Tie(u'; v, u, x); see Figure 2a. From Lemma 1, $\angle(xvu) \ge 2\pi/3$. Now consider the Tie(u; v, x, y). From Lemma 1, $\angle(uvx) < 2\pi/3$, a contradiction.

(ii) From Lemma 1, $\angle(uvx) \ge \pi/3$. Therefore, $\angle(vxu) < 2\pi/3$. However, the minimum angle $\angle(uxv)$ to form a *Tie*(u'; x, u, v) is at least $2\pi/3$; see Figure 2b.

The following lemma shows that the points of a Tie(u; v, x, y) are at distance at most $\sqrt{2}$ of each other.

Lemma 3. Let u, v, x, and y be four points forming a Tie(u; v, x, y) such that $\max\{d(u, v), d(x, y)\} = 1$. Then, d(u, x) and d(u, y) are bounded by $\sqrt{2}$.



Fig. 2: If u, v, x, y form a Tie(u; v, x, y), then u' cannot form a Tie with either v or x or y that overlaps Tie(u; v, x, y).



Fig. 3: $d(u,x) \le \sqrt{2}$ and $d(u,y) \le \sqrt{2}$ in a Tie(u;v,x,y).

Proof. Let *p* be the intersection point of *xy* and C(u; d(u, v)) closer to *y*, and *l* be the tangent line at *p*; see Figure 3. Since the angle that *up* forms with *l* is $\pi/2$, $\angle(upx) \le \pi/2$. Therefore, $d(u,x) \le \sqrt{2}$, since $\max(d(u,p), d(p,x)) \le 1$. Similarly, we can prove that $d(u,y) \le \sqrt{2}$.

We conclude the preliminaries by introducing the concept of a Bow.

Definition 2. We say that four points u, v, x, y form a Bow, denoted by Bow(u, v, x, y), if uv crosses xy, $d(u, y) \le d(u, v) < d(u, x)$ and $d(v, x) \le d(x, y) < d(u, x)$. See Figure 4b.

3 Planar Subgraphs of Minimum Degree 2 of a UDG of Minimum Degree 2

In this section we prove that if U(P,1) has minimum degree 2, then U(P,2) always contains a spanning geometric planar subgraph of minimum degree 2. We also show that the radius 2 is best possible. Therefore in this section we assume U(P,1) has minimum degree 2.

The following theorem shows that the bound 2 is the best possible.



Fig. 4: Tie and Bow.

Theorem 1. For any real $\varepsilon > 0$ and any integer k, there exists a set P of 4k points in the plane so that U(P,1) has minimum degree 2 but $U(P,2-\varepsilon)$ has no geometric planar spanning subgraph of minimum degree 2.

Proof. It is not difficult to see that the component depicted in Figure 5 requires $\{u, v\}$ to create a planar graph of degree two. To create a family of UDGs with 4k vertices, it is enough to consider k disconnected components.



Fig. 5: UDG of minimum degree two that requires scaling factor of $2 - \epsilon$.

Let T = (P, E) be the minimum spanning forest (MSF) (or nearest neighborhood graph) of U(P, 1) formed by connecting each vertex with its neareast neighbor. Recall that U(P, 1) has minimum degree 2 but it is not guaranteed to be connected, and that any two vertices in different components are at distance more than 1. Let u be a leaf of T and v be the second nearest neighbor of u. (If there exist more than one, then choose any one among them.) The directed edge (u, v) is defined as a second nearest neighbor edge (SNN edge). Let E' be the set of SNN edges for all leaves of T. Observe that $E \cap E' = \emptyset$, since the nearest neighborhood graph is a subgraph of U(P, 1) and SNN edges of E' are considered for leaves of T.

Before giving the main theorem we provide some lemmas that are required for the proof. The following lemma shows that if an SNN edge $(x,y) \in E'$ crosses an edge $\{u,v\}$ of *T*, then the four vertices form a Tie(u;v,x,y).

Lemma 4. Let $(x,y) \in E'$ be an SNN edge that crosses an edge $\{u,v\} \in T$. Then, the four vertices form a Tie(u;v,x,y) such that either $\{u,x\} \in T$ or $\{v,x\} \in T$. Moreover, the quadrangle uxvy is empty.

Proof. First we will show that if (x, y) crosses $\{u, v\}$ then either $\{u, x\} \in T$ or $\{v, x\} \in T$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that neither $\{u, x\} \notin T$ nor $\{v, x\} \notin T$. Observe that *u* and *v* are outside D(x; d(x, y)), otherwise (x, y) would not be the SNN edge; see Figure 6a. Therefore, $\angle(vyu) \ge \pi/2$ since (x, y) crosses $\{u, v\}$. Hence, d(u, v) is greater than d(u, y) and d(v, y). This contradicts the minimality of MSF *T*, since replacing $\{u, v\}$ by either $\{u, y\}$ or $\{v, y\}$ results in a spanning forest of U(P, 1) of smaller weight.

To show that the four vertices form a Tie(u;v,x,y), assume that $\{v,x\} \in T$. Observe that $d(u,x) > d(x,y) > \max\{d(v,x), d(v,y)\}$ since *y* is the second nearest neighbor of *x* and $\angle(xvy) \ge \pi/2$; see Figure 6b. It is not difficult to see that d(u,v) < d(u,x) (Otherwise we can obtain a spanning forest of smaller weight by replacing $\{u,v\}$ with $\{u,x\}$.) To prove that d(u,v) < d(u,y) assume by contradiction that d(u,v) > d(u,y). Hence, $\angle(yuv)$ is the largest angle in $\triangle(uvy)$ since d(u,v) < d(v,y) (Otherwise we can obtain a spanning forest of smaller weight by replacing $\{u,v\}$ with $\{u,y\}$.) Therefore, $\angle(yuv) > \angle(yuv)$ which implies that d(x,y) > d(u,x). This is a contradiction since d(x,y) < d(u,x).

To prove that *uxvy* is empty, we consider independently $\triangle(uvx)$ and $\triangle(uvy)$. First consider $\triangle(uvx)$. It is known that the angle that a vertex forms with two consecutive neighbors in *T* is at least $\pi/3$ and the triangle is empty. Therefore, *v* does not have a neighbor in the sector $\angle(xvu)$ since by Lemma 1 $\angle(uvx) < 2\pi/3$. Therefore, $\triangle(uvx)$ is empty. Now we consider $\triangle(uvy)$. Assume by contradiction that exists a point *p* in $\triangle(uvy)$ as depicted in Figure 6c. Observe that $\angle(uvp) > \pi/3$ (Otherwise we can replace $\{u,v\}$ with either $\{u,p\}$ or $\{v,p\}$.) Therefore, $\angle(xvp) < \angle(xvy)$ and d(x,p) < d(x,y) since $d(v,p) \le d(v,y)$ which contradicts the SNN edge definition.



Fig. 6: A SNN edge crossing an edge of T

As a consequence of Lemma 4, an SNN edge crosses at most one edge of T, since the angle that a vertex forms with two consecutive neighbors in T is at least $\pi/3$. The following lemma will help to characterize crossings between SNN edges.

Lemma 5. Let $(u,v), (u',v') \in E'$ be two crossing SNN edges. Then $\{u',v\} \in T$.

Proof. Assume that $\{u', v\}, \{u, v'\} \notin T$, then u' and v' are not in D(u; d(u, v)) as depicted in Figure 7. Observe that if either u' or v' is in D(u; d(u, v)), then (u, v) would not be the SNN edge. Therefore, $d(u', v') > \max(d(u', v), d(v, v'))$ since $\angle (v'vu') > \pi/2$ and (u, v)crosses (u', v'). This is a contradiction since $\{u', v\} \notin T$.



Fig. 7: Two crossing SNN edges

Lemma 6. Let $(u,v), (u',v') \in E'$ be two crossing SNN edges. (i) If $\{u,v'\}, \{u',v\} \in T$, then they form a Bow(u,v,u',v') such that the quadrangle uv'vu' is empty.

(ii) If $\{u',v\} \in T$ and $\{u,v'\} \notin T$, then they form a Tie(u;v,u',v') such that the quadrangle uu'vv' is either empty or contains the neighbor of u in T.

Proof. (i) Let $\{u,v'\} \in T$ and $\{u',v\} \in T$. Clearly, d(u,u') > d(u,v) > d(u,v'), since v' is the nearest neighbor of u and v the second. Similarly, d(u,u') > d(u',v') > d(u',v). Therefore, the four vertices form a Bow(u,v,u',v'). To prove that the quadrangle uv'vu' is empty consider $R = D(u;d(u,v)) \cup D(u';d(u',v'))$ as depicted in Figure 8a. Obviously any point inside R is closer to either u or u'. Therefore, R contains only u, v, u', v'.

(ii) Let $\{u',v\} \in T$ and $\{u,v'\} \notin T$. From the definition of SNN edge, $d(u,v) \leq \min\{d(u,u'), d(u,v')\}$ and d(u',v') < d(u,u'). Therefore, the four vertices form a Tie(u;v,u',v'). To prove that the quadrangle may contain at most one point p such that $\{u,p\} \in T$, consider $R = D(u;d(u,v)) \cup D(u';d(u',v'))$ as depicted in Figure 8b. Obviously any point inside R is closer to either u or u'. Therefore, it contains only the nearest neighbors of u and u'. Further, v is the nearest neighbor of u'. Therefore, $p \in R$ where $\{u,p\} \in T$. It remains to prove that R contains the quadrangle uu'vv'. Let a be the intersection point of $\{u,v'\}$ and C(u;d(u,v)). It is enough to prove that $a \in D(u';d(u',v'))$. However, $\angle(u'va) < \angle(u'vv')$ and $\angle(avv') < \pi/3$. Therefore, d(u',a) < d(u',v').

The following lemma will help to determine our upper bound.

Lemma 7. Let u, v, u', v' be four vertices forming a Tie(u; v, u', v') and w be a vertex such that $d(u, w) \leq 1$, $\angle(wuv) \leq \varphi$, and $\{u', u\}$ crosses $\{w, v\}$. Then, $d(w, u')^2 \leq 3 - 2\sqrt{2}\cos(\varphi - \pi/4)$.



Fig. 8: Crossings of SNN edges

Proof. Observe that $\{u',v'\}$ crosses at least two points of C(u;d(u,v)). Thus, we can assume without loss of generality that $\{u',v'\}$ crosses C(u;d(u,v)) in v and d(u,v) = d(u,v') as depicted in Figure 9. Let $\alpha = \angle(vuv')$ and $\beta = \angle(uv'v) = \angle(v'vu) = \frac{\pi-\alpha}{2}$. Observe that $0 < \alpha \le \pi/3$ since by Lemma 1, $\angle(uvv') \ge \pi/3$. By the law of cosines in $\triangle(uv'u'), d(u,u')^2 = d(u,v')^2 + d(u',v')^2 - 2d(u,v')d(u',v')\cos(\beta) \le 2 - 2\cos(\beta) = 2 - 2\sin(\alpha/2)$ and $d(u,u') \le 2\sin(\frac{\beta}{2}) = 2\cos(\frac{\pi-\alpha}{4})$.

 $2 - 2\sin(\alpha/2) \text{ and } d(u,u') \le 2\sin(\frac{\beta}{2}) = 2\cos(\frac{\pi-\alpha}{4}).$ Let $\gamma = \angle (wuu') = \varphi - \angle (u'uv).$ Since $\angle (v'vu) = \beta, \angle (uvu') = \pi - \beta.$ Therefore, if $d(u,v) \le d(u',v)$, then $\angle (u'uv) \ge \frac{\pi - (\pi - \beta)}{2} = \frac{\pi - \alpha}{4}.$ Otherwise, $\angle (vu'u) \ge \frac{\pi - (\pi - \beta)}{2} = \frac{\beta}{2}.$ From $\triangle (uv'u'), \angle (u'uv) \ge \pi - \beta - \frac{\beta}{2} - \alpha = \frac{\pi - \alpha}{4}.$

From the law of cosines, $d(w,u')^2 = d(u,w)^2 + d(u,u')^2 - 2d(u,u')d(u,w)\cos(\gamma) \le 3 - 2\sin(\frac{\alpha}{2}) - 4\cos(\frac{\pi-\alpha}{4})\cos(\varphi - \frac{\pi-\alpha}{4})$. Observe that when the angles satisfy $0 \le \alpha \le \pi/3$ and $\pi/3 \le \varphi \le \pi$, then the three values $\sin(\frac{\alpha}{2}), \cos(\frac{\pi-\alpha}{4})$ and $\cos(\varphi - \frac{\pi-\alpha}{4})$ attain positive values. Therefore, for any $\varphi \in [\pi/3,\pi]$ the maximum value is reached when $\alpha = 0$ and $d(w,u')^2 \le 3 - 2\sqrt{2}\cos(\varphi - \frac{\pi}{4})$.



Fig. 9: If $\angle (wuv) \leq \varphi$, then $d(w, u')^2 < 3 - 2\sqrt{2}\cos(\varphi - \frac{\pi}{4})$

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.

Theorem 2. Let P be a set of n points in the plane in general position. If U(P,1) contains a spanning subgraph of minimum degree 2, then U(P,2) contains a geometric

planar spanning subgraph of minimum degree 2. Further, such a subgraph can be constructed in time $O(n \log n)$.

Proof. Consider the Nearest Neighbor Graph T = (P, E) of U(P, 1). It is known that T is a subgraph of any minimum spanning tree of U(P, 1). Let E' be the set of SNN edges from leaves of T. Clearly every edge in E' has length at most 1 since U(P, 1) has minimum degree two. Let $G = (P, E \cup E')$. It follows that G spans P, has minimum degree 2, however it may not be planar. We show how to modify G to a planar graph.

Claim. Let Tie(u; v, u', v') be a Tie of G where u' is a leaf of T. (i) $\{u, v\}$ may cross at most one other edge $\{u'', v''\}$ of G such that they form either a Tie(v; u, u'', v'') or a Tie(u''; v'', u, v). (ii) $\{u', v\} \in E$ does not cross any edge of G.

Proof. (i) From Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, $\{u', v\} \in E$. Therefore, *v* is not a leaf in *T*. Hence, if *u* is a leaf of *T*, then from Lemma 5, $\{u, v\}$ may be only the crossing line of a Tie(u''; v'', u, v) as depicted in Figure 10a. On the other hand, *v* may be the tip of another Tie(v; u, u'', v'') as depicted in Figure 10b. However, in that case *u* is not a leaf of *T*.

(ii) Assume by contradiction that $\{u', v\}$ crosses a SNN edge $(x, y) \in E'$ where x is a leaf of T. Therefore, from Lemma 4 they form a Tie(u'; v, x, y) where $\{x, v\} \in E$ since u' is a leaf. Observe that (x, y) also crosses (u', v') otherwise (u', v') would not be the SNN edge. Therefore, from Lemma 5 either $\{v, x\} \in E$ or $\{u', y\} \in E$. This is a contradiction since u' and x are leaves of T.



Fig. 10: $\{u, v\}$ is in at most two *Ties* (Solid lines are edges of *T* and dashed arrow lines are SNN edges.)

The proof is constructive. In every step we remove at least one crossing of G by replacing edges of E'. First, we remove all *Ties*.

Let Tie(u; v, u', v') be a *Tie* of *G* where u' is a leaf of *T*. Observe that from Lemma 2, there is no leaf *r* of *T* such that either (r, v) crosses $\{u', v'\}$ or (r, v') crosses $\{u, v\}$. According to Claim, three cases can occur:

1. $\{u,v\}$ does not form another *Tie*. From Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, $\triangle(uvu')$ is either empty or it has exactly one vertex *w* such that $\{w,u\} \in E$. If $\triangle(uvu')$ is empty, let $E' = E' \cup \{\{u,u'\}\} \setminus \{\{u',v'\}\}$. Otherwise, let $E' = E' \cup \{\{w,u'\}\} \setminus \{\{u',v'\}\}$; see Figure 11. From Lemma 3, $d(u,u') \leq \sqrt{2}$. Therefore the length of the new edge is bounded by $\sqrt{2}$. Since $\{u,v\}$ and $\{v,u'\}$ do not cross, the new edge does not cross any edge of *G*.



Fig. 11: $\{u, v\}$ is in one *Tie* (Dotted lines are removed edges and dashed lines are possible new edges.)

- 2. $\{u,v\}$ forms a Tie(v;u,u'',v'') where u'' is a leaf of T. Observe that in this case u and v are not leaves of T. Therefore, from Lemma 4 the quadrangles uu'vv' and vu''uv'' are empty. We consider two cases. In the first case $\{u,u'\}$ does not cross $\{u'',v\}$. Let, $E' = E' \cup \{\{u,u'\},\{u'',v\}\} \setminus \{\{u',v'\},\{u'',v''\}\}$ as depicted in Figure 12a. From Lemma 3, the new edges are bounded by $\sqrt{2}$. In the second case $\{u,u'\}$ crosses $\{u'',v\}$; see Figure 12b. Consider the quadrangle uvu'u''. If it is empty, let $E' = E' \cup \{\{u',u''\}\} \setminus \{\{u',v'\},\{u'',v''\}\}$. Otherwise, let p and q be the vertices in uvu'u'' such that $\angle(uu''p)$ and $\angle(vu'q)$ are minimum. Let $E' = E' \cup \{\{u',q\},\{u'',q\}\} \setminus \{\{u',v'\},\{u'',v''\}\}$. From Lemma 7, $d(u',u'') \le 2$ since $\angle(u''uv) \le 2\pi/3$. Observe that p does not have a neighbor in the same half-space determined by $\{u'',p\}$ as u because $\angle(uu''p)$ is minimum. Similarly, q does not have a neighbor in the same half-space determined by $\{u',u'\}$ and $\{u,u''\}$ do not cross any other edge and $\{u,v\}$ only forms Tie(u;v,u',v') and Tie(v;u,u'',v''), the new edges do not cross any edge of G.
- 3. $\{u,v\}$ forms a Tie(u'';v'',u,v). $\{u,v\}$ forms a Tie(u'';v'',u,v). Observe that in this case *u* is a leaf of *T*. Assume without loss of generality that $\{u'',v\}$ crosses $\{u,u'\}$. Consider the quadrangle u''uvu'. If it is empty, then let $E' = E' \cup \{\{u',u''\}\} \setminus \{\{u',v'\}\}$. Otherwise, let *p* be the vertex in u''uvu' such that $\angle(vu'p)$ is minimum. Let $E' = E' \cup \{\{u',p\}\} \setminus \{\{u',v'\}\}$. From Lemma 7, $d(u',u'') \le 2$ since $\angle(u''uv) \le 2\pi/3$. Observe that all the neighbors of *p* are in the same half-plane determined by $\{u',p\}$. It is not difficult to see that the new edge does not cross any edge of *G* since the region u''uvu' is close.



Fig. 12: $\{u, v\}$ crosses at least one edge of *G* (Dotted lines are removed edges and dashed lines are possible new edges.)

After removing the *Ties* we remove the *Bows*. Consider a Bow(u, v, u', v') where u and u' are leaves of T. Let $E' = E' \cup \{\{u, u''\}\} \setminus \{\{u, v\}, \{u', v'\}\}$. Clearly, $d(u, u') \le 2$ and $\{u, u''\}$ does not cross any edge of G.

The pseudocode is presented in Algorithm 1. Regarding the complexity, the Nearest Neighbor Graph of U(P,1) can be constructed in $O(n \log n)$. A range tree can be also constructed in $O(n \log n)$ where each query of proximity neighbors takes $O(\log n)$. The removal of a crossing can be done in time $O(\log n)$ and there exist at most 2n Ties since each leaf of T can form at most two Ties. Therefore, the whole construction can be done in $O(n \log n)$ since there are at most O(n) crossings. This complete the proof.

4 2-Edge Connected Geometric Planar Subgraphs of a UDG of Minimum Degree 2

In this section we prove that if U(P,1) is connected and has minimum degree 2, then $U(P,\sqrt{5})$ always contains a 2-edge connected planar spanning subgraph. We also show that the radius $\sqrt{5}$ is best possible. Therefore in this section we assume U(P,1) is connected and has minimum degree 2.

The following theorem shows that the bound $\sqrt{5}$ is best possible.

Theorem 3. For any real $\varepsilon > 0$ and any integer $n \ge 8$, there exists a set P of n points in the plane so that U(P, 1) is connected and has minimum degree 2 but $U(P, \sqrt{5} - \varepsilon)$ has no geometric planar 2-edge connected spanning subgraph.

Proof. Consider the component *C* despited in Figure 13. The vertex *x* is called the entry point and has the following properties: d(x) = 1, $d(v,x) \ge \sqrt{5}$ and $\{u_2,x\}$ crosses *C*. Observe that *C* requires at least one of the edges $\{u_1,w\}, \{u_2,w\}$ be included so that the edge $\{v,w\}$ is in a 2-edge connected geometric planar spanning subgraph. We may assume without loss of generality that the edge u_1w is added. Observe, that for any arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ sufficiently close to zero such that $\sqrt{5} - d(u_1,w) \le \varepsilon$. Observe that $C \setminus x$ has minimum degree two and the lower bound holds. We can construct a family of UDGs with n > 8 vertices and minimum degree two having the same lower bound by connecting the entry point *x* to distinct UDG components. \Box

Algorithm 1: Geometric planar subgraph of minimum degree 2 and longest edge length bounded by 2.

```
input : U(P, 1) with minimum degree 2.
   output: G: Geometric Planar spanning subgraph of U(P,2) of minimum degree 2 and
             longest edge length bounded by 2.
 1 Let T = (P, E) be the Nearest Neighbor Graph of U(P, 1).
 2 Let E' be the set of SNN directed edges from leaves of T.
 3 Let G = (P, E \cup E').
4 foreach edge \{u, v\} in G that forms a Tie(u; v, u', v') do
        if \{u, v\} does not form another Tie then
 5
             if \triangle(uvu') is empty then Let E' = E' \cup \{\{u, u'\}\} \setminus \{\{u', v'\}\}.
 6
             else
 7
                  Let w \in \triangle(uvu') such that \{u, w\} \in E.
 8
                  Let E' = E' \cup \{\{w, u'\}\} \setminus \{\{u', v'\}\}.
 9
10
             end
11
        end
        if \{u, v\} forms a Tie(v; u, u'', v'') where u'' is a leaf of T then
12
             if \{u, u'\} crosses \{u'', v\} then Let
13
             E' = E' \cup \{\{u, u'\}, \{u'', v\}\} \setminus \{\{u', v'\}, \{u'', v''\}\}.
             else if the quadrangle (uvu'u'') is empty then Let
14
             E' = E' \cup \{\{u', u''\}\} \setminus \{\{u', v'\}, \{u'', v''\}\}.
             else
15
                  Let p and q be the points in the quadrangle (uvu'u'') such that \angle (uu''p) and
16
                   \angle(qu'v) are minimum.
                  Let E' = E' \cup \{\{u', p\}, \{q, u'\}\} \setminus \{\{u', v'\}, \{u'', v''\}\}.
17
             end
18
        end
19
        if \{u, v\} forms a Tie(u''; v'', u, v) then
20
             if the quadrangle (uvu'u'') is empty then
21
                  Let E' = E' \cup \{\{u', u''\}\} \setminus \{\{u', v'\}\}.
22
                  if u'' is a leaf of T then Let E' = E' \setminus \{\{u'', v''\}\}.
23
             end
24
25
             else
                  Let p be the point in the quadrangle (uvu'u'') such that \angle (uu''p) is minimum.
26
                  Let E' = E' \cup \{\{u'', p\}\} \setminus \{\{u', v'\}\}.
27
             end
28
29
        end
30
   end
31 foreach edge \{u, v\} in G that forms a Bow(u, v, u', v') do
32
       Let E' = E' \cup \{u, u'\} \setminus \{\{u, v\}, \{u', v'\}\}
33 end
```

Theorem 4. Let P be a set of n points in the plane in general position such that U(P,1) is connected and has minimum degree 2. Then $U(P,\sqrt{5})$ has a 2-edge connected geometric planar spanning subgraph. Further, it can be constructed in time $O(n\log n)$.



Fig. 13: UDG Component with minimum degree 2 that requires scaling factor of $\sqrt{5}$.

Proof. Let T = (P, E) be a minimum spanning tree (MST) of U(P, 1). Properly color the internal vertices of T with two colors, say black and red, and then color leaves with green. Recall that a proper k-coloring is an assignment of one color among kto vertices in such a way that vertices of the same color are never adjacent. Let $G = (P, E \cup E')$ be the spanning planar subgraph of U(P, 2) (which is a subgraph of $U(P, \sqrt{5})$) with minimum degree 2 obtained by Theorem 2. Choose a chromatic class, say black. Consider a black vertex u and its neighbor v in G. It is not difficult to see that if $\{u, v\} \in E'$, then v is green, i.e. a leaf in T, and either u was the tip of a Tie(u, u', v, v') and $d(u, v) \le \sqrt{2}$ or all the neighbors of u in T are in the same half-plane determined by $\{u, v\}$.

Suppose that $\{u, v\} \in E$ is a bridge of *G*. Consider the immediate edge $\{u, w\}$ of $\{u, v\}$ such that $\angle wuv < \pi$ with the preference to edges in *E* and then edges in *E'*. We will add a new edge (for each such bridge) into *G* and make sure these new edges do not add any crossings. The set of added edges will be E'' which is empty at the beginning.

- {*u*,*w*} ∈ *E*. Let $E'' = E'' \cup \{\{v, w\}\}$. Obviously $d(u, w) \le 2$.
- $\{u,w\} \in E'$. Observe that this corresponds to a Tie(u,u',w,w') as depicted in Figure 14. We consider two cases: If $\triangle(uvw)$ is empty, then let $E'' = E'' \cup \{\{v,w\}\}$. Otherwise, let *p* and *q* be the points such that $\angle(pvu)$ and $\angle(qwu)$ are minimum. Let $E'' = E'' \cup \{\{v, p\}, \{q, w\}\}$. Since *u* is the tip of a Tie(u, u', v, v'), from Lemma 7, $d(w, v) \le \sqrt{5}$.

Observe that every vertex of $G = (P, E \cup E' \cup E'')$ is in at least one cycle. Therefore, it is two edge connected. The pseudocode is presented in Algorithm 2. Regarding to the complexity, each new edge can be added in time $O(\log n)$. Therefore, the whole construction can be completed in time $O(n \log n)$.

5 2-Edge Connected Planar Subgraphs of a 2-Edge Connected UDG

In this section we prove that if U(P, 1) is 2-edge connected, then U(P, 2) always contains a 2-edge connected geometric planar spanning subgraph. We also show that the radius 2 is best possible. Therefore in this section we assume U(P, 1) is 2-edge connected.



Fig. 14:
$$\angle(wuv) < \pi$$
 and $\{u, v'\} \in E'$

Algorithm 2: Constructing a 2-Edge Connected Planar Graph with longest edge length $\sqrt{5}$

input : Connected UDG with minimum degree 2. output: G: 2-Edge Connected Planar Graph with longest edge length bounded by $\sqrt{5}$. 1 Let $G = (P, E \cup E')$ be the connected planar graph of minimum degree 2 obtained from Algorithm 1. 2 Color internal vertices of T = (P, E) with black and red. 3 foreach Bridge $\{u, v\} \in E$ of G do Let *u* be a black vertex. 4 Let $\{u, w\}$ be the immediate of $\{u, v\}$ such that $\angle vwu < \pi$ with the preference to 5 edges in E and then edges in E'. if $\triangle(uvw)$ is empty then Let $E' = E' \cup \{\{v, w\}\}$. 6 else 7 Let p and q be the points in $\triangle(uvw)$ such that $\angle(uvp)$ and $\angle(qwu)$ are minimum. 8 Let $E' = E' \cup \{\{v, p\}, \{q, w\}\}.$ 9 end 10 11 end

The following theorem shows that the bound 2 is best possible.

Theorem 5. For any real $\varepsilon > 0$ and any integer k, there exists a set R of n = 3k + 1 points in the plane so that U(P,1) is 2-edge connected but $U(R,2-\varepsilon)$ has no planar 2-edge connected spanning subgraph.

Proof. The construction is based on the component depicted in Figure 15a. Observe that the component is the same as the component of the lower bound of planar graphs with minimum degree two. Clearly, it requires $\{u, v\}$ to create a 2-edge connected planar graph. A UDG with *k* components can be created by forming a convex path as depicted in Figure 15b. It is not difficult to see that the lower bound also holds for this UDG with 1 + 3k vertices.

We say that a vertex v of a graph G is Arduous if v has degree two, is not in a cycle, and the angle that it forms with its consecutive neighbors is greater than $5\pi/6$. Thus, we have the following Corollary to Theorem 3.



Fig. 15: Two-edge connected UDG with 1 + 3k vertices that requires scaling factor of 2.

Corollary 1. Let P be a set of n points in the plane in general position such that U(P,1) is connected and has minimum degree 2. Let T = (P,E) be an MST of U(P,1). Consider a (proper) 2-coloring of vertices of T with colors black and red. If U(P,1) does not have either black or red Arduous vertices, then U(P,2) has an underlying 2-edge connected geometric planar graph.

Proof. Let $G = (P, E \cup E')$ be the 2-edge connected geometric planar spanning subgraph obtained by Theorem 5. Assume that *T* does not have black *Arduous* vertices. For the sake of contradiction assume that *G* has an edge $\{v, w\} \in E'$ such that d(v, w) > 2. Let *u* be the black vertex of *T* that added $\{v, w\}$ to *G*. Observe that *u* was the tip of a Tie(u; u', w, w') where *w* is a leaf and the angle that *u* forms with *u'* and *w* is greater than $5\pi/6$. However, *T* does not have black *Arduous* vertices. This contradicts the assumption.

First we prove that if U(P,1) is 2-vertex connected, then U(P,2) has a spanning 2-edge connected geometric planar subgraph. Then we prove the same from 2-edge connectivity of U(P,1).

Theorem 6. Let P be a set of n points in the plane in general position such that U(P,1) is 2-vertex connected. Then U(P,2) has a spanning geometric planar 2-edge connected subgraph.

Proof. Let T = (P, E) be an MST of U(P, 1). Consider a (proper) 2-coloring of internals vertices of T with red and black colors, and assign green to leaves. Choose any color class, say black. If T does not have black *Arduous* vertices, then by Corollary 1, U(P, 2) has an underlying 2-edge connected planar graph. Thus, assume that T has at least one black *Arduous* vertex. We will add edges to E' in a greedy manner to obtain a graph $G = (P, E \cup E')$ that does not have black *Arduous* vertices.

Consider a black *Arduous* vertex v of G. Let G_1 and G_2 be the connected components of $T \setminus v$ and $\{u, w\}$ be a shortest edge in U(P, 1) that connects G_1 and G_2 . Since U(P, 1) is 2-vertex connected, $\{u, w\}$ always exists. Assume that $u \in G_1$ and $w \in G_2$. Observe that every vertex in D(u, d(u, w)) is in G_1 and every vertex in D(w, d(u, w)) is in G_2 , otherwise $\{u, w\}$ is not shortest. Therefore, $D(u, d(u, w)) \cap D(w, d(u, w))$ either is empty or contains v.

We will show that $\{u, w\}$ does not cross an edge of *E*. For the sake of contradiction assume that $\{u, w\}$ crosses an edge $\{u', w'\} \in E$. Let $R = D(u, d(u, w)) \cap D(w, d(u, w))$. Consider first the case when u' and w' are not in *R*. Therefore, either $\angle (u'uw)$ or

 $\angle(uwu')$ is the largest angle in $\triangle(uwu')$. Similarly, either $\angle(wuw')$ or $\angle(w'wu)$ is the largest angle in $\triangle(uww')$. Observe that if $\angle(u'uw)$ and $\angle(wuw')$ are the largest angles, then there exists a cycle u'w'u where d(u',w') is the longest edge length. Therefore, $\{u',w'\}$ is not in *T*. Thus, assume that $\angle(u'uw)$ and $\angle(w'wu)$ are the largest angles in the respective triangles as depicted in Figure 16a. Hence, d(u',w') > d(u,w). Therefore $d(u',u) \le d(u,w)$ and similarly $d(w',w) \le d(u,w)$. This is a contradiction since there is a cycle uww'u'u where d(u',w') is the largest edge length. Now consider the case when at least one vertex of u' or w' is in *R*, say w'. Therefore, v = w'. However, v is also incident to u and w. This contradicts the assumption since d(v) = 2.

Now we will prove that if $\{u, w\}$ crosses and edge $\{u', w'\} \in E'$, then $\{u', w'\}$ can be removed from E' without increasing the number of black *Arduous* vertices in *G*. Assume without loss of generality that u' and w' are in G_1 as depicted in Figure 16b, otherwise, v would not be an *Arduous* vertex. Therefore, $d(u, w) \leq \max(d(u', w), d(w, w'))$. Consider the previous step where $\{u', w'\}$ was added from G'. Let v' be the black *Arduous* vertex of G' and G'_1 and G'_2 be the components of $G' \setminus v'$. Hence, w was in either G'_1 or G'_2 and either $d(u', w') \leq d(u', w)$ or $d(u', w') \leq d(w', w)$. Therefore, they form a Tie(w; u, u', w') where $u \in D(u'; d(u', w')) \cap D(w'; d(u', w'))$. Hence, u = v'. Thus, if $\{u, w\}$ crosses an edge $\{u', w'\} \in E'$, then let $E' = E' \cup \{\{u, w\}\} \setminus \{\{u', w'\}\}$. Otherwise, let $E' = E' \cup \{\{u, w\}\}$. Observe that any immediate neighbor $\{u, x\}$ and $\{w, y\}$ of $\{u, w\}$ where $x, y \notin D(u; d(u, w)) \cap D(w; d(u, w))$ form an angle of at least $\pi/3$.



Fig. 16: Removal of black Arduous vertices.

Clearly $G = (P, E \cup E')$ is planar and does not have black *Arduous* vertices. Let E'' be the set of SNN edges of *G*.

Claim. Let $(u,v) \in E''$ be an edge that crosses an edge $\{u',v'\} \in E'$. (i) If $\{u,u'\}, \{u,v'\} \notin E$, then $\{u',v'\}$ can be removed from E' without increasing the number of black *Arduous* vertices. (ii) If $\{u,u'\}, \{v,v'\} \in E$, then $\{u',v'\}$ can be removed from E' without increasing the number of black *Arduous* vertices. (iii) If $\{u,u'\}, \{e,v'\} \in E$ and $\{v,v'\} \notin E$, then they form a Tie(v';u',u,v). *Proof (Claim).* Consider the step where $\{u', v'\}$ was added from G'. Let w' be the black *Arduous* vertex of G' and let ${\mathcal{G}'}_1$ and ${\mathcal{G}'}_2$ be the components resulting from $G' \setminus w'$. Further, let $u' \in {\mathcal{G}'}_1$ and $v' \in {\mathcal{G}'}_2$. Now we prove each case separately.

(i) Clearly $d(u,v) \leq \min(d(u,u'), d(u,v'))$ since v is the second nearest neighbor of u. Assume without loss of generality that $u \in G'_1$. Therefore, d(u',v') < d(u,v') and they form a Tie(u;v,u',v'). However, $v \in D(u';d(u',v')) \cap D(v';d(u',v'))$ which means that w' = v. Thus, we can remove $\{u',v'\}$ from E' without increasing the number of black *Arduous* vertices in G; see Figure 17a.

(ii) First consider that $\{u',v\} \notin E$. Therefore, d(u',v') < d(u',v) since v is in the same component as v'. Observe that $\angle (uu'v')$ and $\angle (u'v'v)$ are the largest angles in the triangles $\triangle (uu'v')$ and $\triangle (u'v'v)$ respectively. However, since $d(u',v) \ge d(u',v')$ and $\angle (uu'v) > \angle (uu'v')$, $d(u,v') \le d(u,v)$. This contradicts the assumption. Now consider that $\{u',v\} \in E$, then vu'v' form a cycle where $\{u',v'\}$ is the longest edge otherwise T is not minimum. Therefore, $v \in D(u';d(u',v')) \cap D(v';d(u',v'))$ and w' = v. Thus, we can remove $\{u',v'\}$ from E' without increasing the number of black *Arduous* vertices in G.

(iii) First we will prove that $v \in G'_1$. Assume by contradiction that v is in G'_2 . Similarly to the previous case, d(u',v') < d(u',v). Thus, $\angle (uu'v')$ and $\angle (u'v'v)$ are the largest angles in the triangles $\triangle (uu'v')$ and $\triangle (u'v'v)$ respectively. However, since d(u',v) > d(u',v') and $\angle (uu'v) > \angle (uu'v')$, $d(u,v') \le d(u,v)$. Therefore, $u, v \in G'_1$ and $d(u',v') \le \min(d(v',u),d(v',v))$. Hence, they form a Tie(v';u',u,v) since d(u,v') > d(u,v).



Fig. 17: Removal of black Arduous vertices.

Observe that the crossings between edges in E'' and edges in $E \cup E'$ are equivalent to crossings between edges in E'' and E. That is, they form *Ties* where leaves are endpoints of crossing lines. Thus, we can obtain a geometric planar graph of $G = (P, E \cup E' \cup E'')$ with minimum degree two from Theorem 2. It remains to add each bridge of G into at least one cycle. Let v be a black vertex of G incident to a bridge $\{u, v\} \in E$ and $\{w, v\}$ be an edge such that $\angle(uvw) < \pi$ with the preference to edges in E, then in E' and then in E''. We have three cases:

- {*w*,*v*} ∈ *E*. Let
$$E'' = E'' \cup \{\{u, w\}\}$$
. Clearly, $d(u, w) \le 2$.

- $\{w,v\} \in E'$. We consider two cases. First assume that *w* is red. Let $E'' = E'' \cup \{\{u,w\}\}$. $d(u,w) \leq 2$. Now assume that *w* is black. Clearly $d_G(v) \geq 3$ and $d_G(w) \geq 3$. Observe that since $\{w,v\} \in E'$ and *v* is an internal black vertex of *T*, there exits a neighbor *w'* of *v* such that $\angle(uvw') < \pi$ and $\{u,w'\}$ crosses $\{v,w\}$. Therefore, $\angle(wvu) \leq 2\pi/3$. Let *u'* be the first neighbor of *w* such that u'wvu form a convex path; see Figure 18. If either *u'* does not exist or $\{u',w\} \in E'$ or $\{u',w\} \in E''$, then let $E'' = E'' \cup \{\{w,u\}\}$. Otherwise, $\{u',w\} \in E$. Similarly, since $\{w,v\} \in E'$ and *w* is an internal black vertex of *T*, there exits a neighbor *v'* of *w* such that $\angle(u'wv') < \pi$ and $\{u',v'\}$ crosses $\{w,v\}$. Therefore, $\angle(u'wv) \leq 2\pi/3$. If the quadrangle *uvwu'* is empty, then let $E'' = E'' \cup \{\{u,u'\}\}$. Otherwise, let *p* and *q* be the points such that $\angle(pu'w)$ and $\angle(quv)$ are minimum. Let $E'' = E'' \cup \{\{u',p\},\{q,u\}\}$. It is not difficult to see that $d(u,u') \leq 2$. To see this, consider the right triangles *auv* and *u'bw* where *a* and *b* are the points in $\{u',u\}$ such that $\angle(vau) = \pi/2$ and $\angle(u'bw) = \pi/2$. From the Law of sines $d(a,u) \leq 1/2$, d(u',b) = 1/2 and d(p,q) = 1 since $\angle(avu) \leq \pi/6$ and $\angle(u'wb) \leq \pi/6$.



Fig. 18: $\{w, v\} \in E'$ and w is black.

- $\{w,v\} \in E''$. We consider two cases: If $\triangle(uvw)$ is empty, then let $E'' = E'' \cup \{\{u,w\}\}$. Otherwise, let *p* and *q* be the points such that $\angle(puv)$ and $\angle(qwv)$ are minimum. Let $E'' = E'' \cup \{\{u,p\},\{q,w\}\}$. Since *v* is the tip of a Tie(v,v',w,w') and $\angle(v'vu) \leq 5\pi/6$, from Lemma 7, $d(u,w) \leq 2$.

The pseudocode is presented in Algorithm 3. Regarding the time complexity, the dominating step is the removal of *Arduous* vertices and can be implemented in time $O(n^2)$. That is, given an *Arduous* vertex, determine the components $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$ of $G \setminus v$ in O(n) time and look for the shortest edge length $\{u, w\}$ of U(P, 1) not in G such that $u \in \mathcal{G}_1$ and $w \in \mathcal{G}_2$ in O(n) time. Therefore, the construction can be done in $O(n^2)$ time.

Theorem 7. Let P be a set of n points in the plane in general position such that U(P,1) is 2-edge connected. Then U(P,2) has a spanning geometric planar 2-edge connected subgraph.

Proof. Consider the subsets P_i of P such that $U(P_i, 1)$ is 2-vertex connected. Using Theorem 6, we can construct a spanning 2-edge connected geometric planar subgraph

Algorithm 3: Geometric planar 2-Edge connected subgraph with longest edge length bounded by 2

input : 2-vertex connected U(P, 1). output: G: Geometric planar 2-edge connected planar subgraph of U(P,2) with longest edge length bounded by 2. 1 Let T = (P, E) be a MST of U(P, 1), $E' = \emptyset$ and $G = (P, E \cup E')$. 2 Color the internal vertices of T with black and red colors. 3 Let A be the set of black Arduous vertices of T. 4 Let $G = (P, E \cup E')$. 5 while A is empty do Let *v* be a vertex of *A* and $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$ be the components of $G \setminus v$. 6 Let $\{u, w\}$ be the shortest edge such that $u \in G_1$ and $w \in G_2$ 7 if $\{u, w\}$ crosses an edge $\{u', w'\} \in E'$ then Let $E' = E' \cup \{\{u, w\}\} \setminus \{\{u', w'\}\}$. else 8 Let $E' = E' \cup \{\{u, w\}\}$. Remove the vertices of A that are in cycles or have degree at least three in G. 9 end 10 Let E'' be the SNN edges of *G* and $G = (P, E \cup E' \cup E'')$ be the connected geometric planar graph of minimum degree 2 obtained from Algorithm 1. 11 **foreach** *Black vertex* $u \in T$ **do** Let *v* be a black vertex and $\{v, u\}$ be a bridge of *G*. 12 Let $\{v, w\}$ be the consecutive edge such that $\angle(wvu) < \pi$ and given the following 13 priority E, E', E''. if $\{v, w\} \in E$ then Let $E' = E'' \cup \{\{u, w\}\}.$ 14 if $\{v, w\} \in E'$ then if w is red then Let $E' = E'' \cup \{\{u, w\}\}.$ 15 if w is black then 16 Let u' be the first neighbor of w such that u'wvu form a convex path. 17 if u' does not exist or $\{w, u'\} \in E'$ or $\{w, u'\} \in E''$ then Let $E' = E'' \cup \{\{u, w\}\}$. 18 else 19 if The quadrangle u'wvu is empty then Let $E' = E'' \cup \{\{u, u'\}\}$. 20 21 else Let p and q be the points in u'wvu such that $\angle(pu'w)$ and $\angle(quv)$ are 22 minimum; Let $E' = E'' \cup \{\{u', p\}, \{q, u\}\}.$ 23 24 end end 25 26 end if $\{v, w\} \in E''$ then if $\triangle(uvw)$ is empty then Let $E' = E' \cup \{\{u, w\}\}$. 27 else 28 29 Let *p* and *q* be the points in $\triangle(uvw)$ such that $\angle(vwp)$ and $\angle(quv)$ are minimum. Let $E' = E' \cup \{\{w, p\}, \{q, u\}\}.$ 30 31 end 32 end

 G_i of $U(P_i, 2)$ since each $U(P_i, 2)$ has at least three vertices. It is not difficult to see that $\bigcup G_i$ is 2-edge connected and planar.

6 UDG of High Connectivity without 2-Edge Connected Geometric Planar Subgraphs

One may ask: for which k > 1, a k-edge (or k-vertex) connected U(P,1) with n points has a spanning 2-edge connected geometric planar subgraph? We will show that even for $k \in O(\sqrt{n})$ this is not always true.

Theorem 8. There exist a set P of n points in the plane so that U(P,1) is k-vertex connected, $k \in O(\sqrt{n})$, but U(P,17/16) does not contain any 2-edge connected geometric planar spanning subgraph.

Proof. Assume k = 2m. Consider the C^k and the wire components depicted in Figure 19a and Figure 19b with 2k + 2 vertices and 2k vertices respectively. It is easy to see that C^k is a valid two-vertex connected UDGs and the wire is a valid k-vertex connected UDGs. Observe that C^k does not have a 2-edge connected planar subgraph since the inclusion of $\{u_1, u'_k\}$ and $\{u'_1, u_k\}$ leaves v' and v with degree one respectively. Hence, we call v and v' the isolated vertices of C^k . Observe that we can embed C^k in such a way that the distances $d(v, u_k), d(u_k, u_{k-1}), d(u_2, u_1)$ and $d(v', u'_k), d(u'_k, u'_{k-1}), d(u'_2, u'_1)$ are $\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon$. Hence, $d(u'_1, v) = d(u'_1, u_2) = d(u_1, v') = d(u_1, u'_2) = 17/16 - \delta$. Let C^k_i be m consecutive C^k components in such a way that they are at distance greater than 17/16 from each other. We can connect the upper and lower part of C^k_i with C^k_{i+1} with a constant number of wires, i.e. creating k independent paths that connect the upper and the lower part of C^k_i and C^k_{i+1} in such a way that the isolated vertices of each C^k_i are far from the wires as depicted in Figure 19a. It is easy to see that the resulting graph is k-vertex connected and has $O(k^2)$ vertices.



Fig. 19: k-vertex connected UDG that does not have 2-edge connected planar subgraph.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we have shown that for any given point set *P* in the plane forming a 2-edge connected unit disk graph, the geometric graph U(P,2) contains a 2-edge connected geometric planar graph that spans *P*. It is an open problem to determine necessary and sufficient conditions for constructing *k*-vertex (or *k*-edge) connected planar straight line edge graphs with bounded edge length on a set of points for $3 \le k \le 4$.

References

- M. Abellanas, A. García, F. Hurtado, J. Tejel, and J. Urrutia. Augmenting the connectivity of geometric graphs. *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications*, 40(3):220–230, 2008.
- M. Al-Jubeh, M. Ishaque, K. Rédei, D. Souvaine, and C. Tóth. Tri-edge-connectivity augmentation for planar straight line graphs. In *the 20th International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation (ISAAC 2009)*, volume 5878 of *LNCS*, pages 902–912. Springer, 2009.
- M. Al-Jubeh, M. Ishaque, K. Rdei, D. Souvaine, C. Tth, and P. Valtr. Augmenting the edge connectivity of planar straight line graphs to three. *Algorithmica*, 61:971–999, 2011.
- J. Cheriyan, A. Sebö, and Z. Szigeti. An Improved Approximation Algorithm for Minimum Size 2-Edge Connected Spanning Subgraphs. In the 6th International IPCO Conference on Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization, volume 1412, pages 126–136. Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- K. R. Gabriel and R. R. Sokal. A new statistical approach to geographic variation analysis. Systematic Zoology, 18(3):259–278, 1969.
- A. García, F. Hurtado, C. Huemer, J. Tejel, and P. Valtr. On triconnected and cubic plane graphs on given point sets. *Computational Geometry*, 42(9):913–922, 2009.
- R. Jothi, B. Raghavachari, and S. Varadarajan. A 5/4-Approximation Algorithm for Minimum 2-Edge-Connectivity. In *the Fourteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 725–734, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2003. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.
- E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, O. Morales Ponce, and L. Stacho. Bounded length, 2-edge augmentation of geometric planar graphs. In *the 4th annual International Conference on Combinatorial Optimization and Applications. Big Island, Hawaii, COCOA 2010, Part I*, volume 6509. Springer, Dec. 18-20, 2010.
- E. Kranakis, O. Morales Ponce, and J. Suomela. Planar subgraphs without low-degree nodes. In 12th international conference on Algorithms and Data Structures (WADS), volume 6844 of Springer LNCS, Vol. 6844, pages 583–594. Springer, 2011.
- R.G. Parker and R.L. Rardin. Guaranteed performance heuristics for the bottleneck traveling salesman problem. *Oper. Res. Lett*, 2(6):269–272, 1984.
- 11. I. Rutter and A. Wolff. Augmenting the connectivity of planar and geometric graphs. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 31:53–56, 2008.
- 12. C. Tóth. Connectivity augmentation in plane straight line graphs. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 31:49–52, 2008.
- 13. T. Toussaint. The relative neighbourhood graph of a finite planar set. *Pattern Recognition*, 12(4):261–268, 1980.
- 14. J. Urrutia. Local solutions for global problems in wireless networks. J. of Discrete Algorithms, 5:395–407, September 2007.
- S. Vempala and A. Vetta. Factor 4/3 Approximations for Minimum 2-Connected Subgraphs. In the Third International Workshop on Approximation Algorithms for Combinatorial Optimization, volume 1913, pages 262–273, London, UK, 2000. Springer-Verlag.