Bloom Filters

Anil Maheshwari

School of Computer Science Carleton University Canada

1

Outline

Bloom Filter

Data Structure

Queries

False-Positives

Analysis

Summary

Bloom Filter

Problem Definition

Let U be the universe. Input: A subset $S \subseteq U$. Query: For any $q \in U$, decide whether $q \in S$.

Objective

Answer queries quickly and use very little extra space.

SPAM Detection

- U = AII possible email addresses;
- S = My collection of non-junk email addresses.

Query: Given any $q \in U$, report whether $q \in S$?

History of Bloom Filters

- Proposed by Bloom in CACM 1970 *Space/Time tradeoffs in Hash Coding with Allowable Errors.* (7000 Citations)
- Space-Efficient Probabilistic Data Structure for Membership Testing
- May have false positives
- Numerous Variants: Counting Filters, Dynamic Filters with insertion/deletion of elements in *S*.
- Vast Applications: Estimating size of union/intersection of sets, Avoid cashing 'one-hit wonders', Google Bigtable, Chrome's uses it to detect malicious URLs.
- Refined Analysis in 2008 by members of our school.

Data Structure

Data Structure

An array B consisting of m bits and k hash functions $h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_k,$ where $h_i:U\to\{1,\ldots,m\}$

Initialization

 $B \leftarrow 0.$ For all $x \in S$, set $B[h_1(x)] = B[h_2(x)] = \cdots = B[h_k(x)] = 1.$

Queries

Queries

Answering Query

For any query $q \in U$, if $B[h_1(q)] = B[h_2(q)] = \cdots = B[h_k(q)] = 1$, report $q \in S$, else report $q \notin S$.

Observation

If $q \in S$, the queries are answered correctly.

False Positives

Suppose $q \notin S$ If $B[h_1(q)] = B[h_2(q)] = \cdots = B[h_k(q)] = 1$, we will report that $q \in S$.

False-Positives

Assume n = |S|.

- nk times, we attempt to set locations in B to "1".
- What is the probability that B[l] = 1?
- Complementary Event: $Pr(B[l] = 0) = (1 \frac{1}{m})^{nk}$

-
$$p = Pr(B[l] = 1) = 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{m})^{nk}$$

- For False-Positive to occur, all of the k specified locations $B[h_1(q)], \ldots, B[h_k(q)]$ must be "1".

Bloom70

 $Pr(B[h_1(q)] = B[h_2(q)] = \dots = B[h_k(q)] = 1) = p^k.$

Analysis

An Example

Let
$$n = 1, m = 2, k = 2,$$

 $U = \{x, y\}, S = \{x\}$ and $q = y \neq x$.

After Initialization *B* has the following configuration:

B	Pr. of specific	Given B, Cond. Pr. that
	config. of B	$B[h_1(y)] = B[h_2(y)] = 1$
1 0	$1/2 \times 1/2 = 1/4$	$1/2 \times 1/2 = 1/4$
0 1	$1/2 \times 1/2 = 1/4$	$1/2 \times 1/2 = 1/4$
1 1	$2\times 1/2\times 1/2 = 1/2$	$1 \times 1 = 1$

Since the three rows are mutually exclusive, the probability of False-Positive is $1/4\times 1/4+1/4\times 1/4+1/2\times 1=10/16.$

n = 1, m = 2, k = 2.

Note that Bloom's result states that the probability of false-positive is $p^k,$ where $p=1-(1-\frac{1}{m})^{kn}.$

From Bloom's computation, $p = 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{m})^{kn} = 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{2})^{2 \times 1} = 3/4$, and $p^k = p^2 = 9/16$.

But $9/16 \neq 10/16$.

The implicit assumption that $B[h_2(q)] = 1$ is independent of $B[h_1(q)] = 1$ isn't correct.

We came up with a fairly technical proof and showed that

Theorem

2. L

Let $p_{k,n,m}$ be the false-positive rate for a Bloom filter that stores n elements of a set S in a bit-vector of size m using k hash functions.

1. We can express $p_{k,n,m}$ in terms of the Stirling number of second kind as follows:

$$p_{k,n,m} = \frac{1}{m^{k(n+1)}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} i^k i! \binom{m}{i} \begin{cases} kn \\ i \end{cases}$$

et $p = 1 - (1 - 1/m)^{kn}$, $k \ge 2$ and $\frac{k}{p} \sqrt{\frac{\ln m - 2k \ln p}{m}} \le c$ for some $c < 1$.
Upper and lower bounds on $p_{k,n,m}$ are given by

$$p^k < p_{k,n,m} \le p^k \left(1 + O\left(\frac{k}{p}\sqrt{\frac{\ln m - 2k\ln p}{m}}\right)\right)$$

Summary

Summary of Bloom Filters

- A simple scheme for testing membership. Has one-sided error, i.e., false positives. It doesn't store the actual items.
- 2. How to find the right number of hash functions and right size of the filter?
- 3. Implemented in various search engines, routers, SPAM filters, ...
- Unpleasant analysis in our work (Reference: P. Bose, H.Guo, E. Kranakis, A. Maheshwari, P. Morin, J. Morrison, M. Smid, Y. Tang: On the false-positive rate of Bloom filters. Inf. Process. Letters 108(4): 210-213 (2008))
- 5. Challenge: A nicer analysis. Hopefully, this will help with the analysis of variants of Bloom Filters.

Following is known, but it is somewhat outside the scope of the course. ¹

- 1. To minimize the false positives, ideal choice for $k = \frac{|B|}{|S|} \ln 2$.
- 2. An alternate analysis shows that false-positive error rate is

$$\leq \left(1 - e^{-\frac{k(|S|+0.5)}{|B|-1}}\right)^k \approx \left(1 - e^{-\frac{k|S|}{|B|}}\right)^k.$$

- 3. False-positive under 1% with optimal number of hash functions uses approximately 10 bits per element of S.
- 4. Over 60 variants of Bloom Filters.

¹See Wikipedia entry under Bloom Filters.