

Foundations for Modern Algorithms in Euclidean and Non-Euclidean Spaces

Project Goals

• Understand the CURE algorithm and GRGPF Framework

Identify the motivations behind their key innovations

 Highlight modern parallels to develop and intuition for modern clustering design strategies

Focus of this Presentation

 Explain the core problems that CURE and GRGPF were designed to address

 Identify where the techniques introduced in these algorithms persist today



S. Guha, R. Rastogi, and K. Shim

An Efficient Clustering
Algorithm for Large Databases

Core Problems (1/2)

Previous algorithms suffered from **two major flaws** which deteriorated the quality of their clusters:

High sensitivity to outliers

• Bias toward form spherical clusters with similar sizes

Solution

 Biases were a consequence of using single points to represent clusters

 CURE represents each cluster with multiple well-scattered representative points

 Representative points are shrunk towards the mean to reduce impact of outliers

Modern Parallels

Prototypes – originally single data points representing clusters

CURE popularized the use of multiple prototypes per cluster

 Modern prototypes can be complex vectors or learned parameters, but their role still mirrors representative points

Core Problems (2/2)

 Previous algorithms could not be effectively scaled to large data sets

 A critical weakness as data sets often consist of hundreds of thousands of points and beyond

Solution

Generate a uniformly random subset of the data

Split data into p partitions

Generate q pre-clusters in each partition

Merge pre-clusters with CURE to form final clusters

Modern Parallels

Coresets – small subsets used to approximate data

 Uses non-uniform sampling based on a various weighting schemes

Achieves accurate approximations with fewer points



V. Ganti, R. Ramakrishnan, J. Gherke, A.L. Powell, and J.C. French

Clustering Large Datasets in Arbitrary Metric Spaces

Core Problems (1/2)

Limited operations available in distance spaces

 With limited operations, distances spaces have difficulties creating cluster summaries as seen commonly in coordinate spaces

 Without summaries, computing distances may require examining entire clusters

Solution (1/2)

 Generalized cluster features - first of two core abstractions in the GRGPF framework

Stores summary data for a cluster

- Must satisfy two properties:
 - Can be incrementally updated as new points are introduced.
 - Can be used to compute distances and other metrics

Solution (2/2)

• Generalized cluster features tree – second core abstraction

Guides objects to their best cluster

- Composed of non-leaf and leaf nodes:
 - Non-leaf nodes direct objects toward the appropriate leaf
 - Leaf nodes contain candidate clusters

Use Case Example (BUBBLE-FM)

Cluster features: cluster size, a clustroid, and clustroid radius

- Cluster feature tree:
 - Interior nodes contain a constant number of sample objects
 - Leaf nodes contains a set of candidate clusters

 Guide new objects through closest interior nodes to a leaf containing their optimal cluster

Modern Parallels

 Micro-clusters used in data stream clustering are similar to generalized cluster features

Used to summarize dense regions of points

 Tree structures built from micro-clusters guide the clustering process, mirroring cluster feature trees

Core Problems (2/2)

- Distance computations in arbitrary metric spaces can be costly
 - Example: Hamming distance between bitstrings

 This can make the guidance stage of the GRGPF framework expensive

Solution

Create image vectors for sample objects in interior nodes

 Generate an image space to compute approximated centroids for interior nodes

Compare new objects' image vectors to these centroids

Leaf nodes remain unchanged to maintain quality

Modern Parallels

- Mapping complex data to simpler vector spaces persists:
 - Text clustering: documents → vector spaces
 - LLMS: semantic/syntactic patterns → vector spaces
 - Deep clustering: high-dimensional → low-dimensional spaces

- Motivation remains unchanged:
 - Transform data to facilitate new operations
 - Allow for cheaper distance computations



Presentation Review

Highlighted the core problems CURE and GRGPF were introduced to solve

• Showed **modern parallels** to new innovations from these algorithms

 For a deeper dive into the algorithms, their design, and modern connections, see my full paper

References

Petukhova, A., Matos-Carvalho, J.P., & Fachada, N. (2024). *Text Clustering with Large Language Model Embeddings*. International Journal of Cognitive Computing in Engineering.

Wang, H., & Lu, N. (2020). *Deep Embedded Clustering with Asymmetric Residual Autoencoder*. In Proceedings of the 2020 Chinese Automation Congress (CAC).

Leskovec, J., Rajaraman, A., & Ullman, J.D. (2014). *Mining of Massive Datasets*. Cambridge University Press.

Sun, J., Du, M., & Dong, Y. (2025). Efficient Online Stream Clustering Based on Fast Peeling of Boundary Micro-Cluster. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems.

Liu, M., Jiang, X., & Kot, A.C. (2009). *A multi-prototype clustering algorithm*. In Proceedings of the 2009 Chinese Pattern Recognition Conference (CCPR).

Guha, S., Rastogi, R., & Shim, K. (1998). *CURE: An efficient clustering algorithm for large databases*. In Proceedings of the ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data (pp. 73-84).

Cohen-Addad, V., Saulpic, D., & Schwiegelshohn, C. (2021). *A new coreset framework for clustering*. In Proceedings of the 53rd Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC) (pp. 169-182).

Ganti, V., Ramakrishnan, R., Gehrke, J., Powell, A.L., & French, J.C. (1999). *Clustering large datasets in arbitrary metric spaces*. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Data Engineering (pp. 502-511).

Ping, Y., Li, H., Guo, C., & Hao, B. (2025). kProtoClust: Towards Adaptive k-Prototype Clustering without Known k. Computers, Materials & Continua, 82(3), 4949-4976.