Artificial Intelligence An Introduction¹

Instructor: Dr. B. John Oommen

Chancellor's Professor Life Fellow: IEEE; Fellow: IAPR School of Computer Science, Carleton University, Canada.

¹The primary source of these notes are the slides of Professor Hwee Tou Ng from Singapore. I sincerely thank him for this.

History of Al

• Leibniz, Babbage, Boole, Frege, Russell, Tarski ...

Turing (1930's)

- Turing Machine (TM)
- Turing Test "Operationalizing" Intelligence
 - Machine's ability to demonstrate intelligence
 - Human Judge "converses" with human and machine
 - BOTH try to appear human
 - All participants are placed in isolated locations
 - If Judge cannot reliably tell the machine from the human, the machine Passes the test

The Test itself



• Turing-Church Thesis:

If a problem is not solvable by a TM, it is not solvable by people either

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

• Leibniz, Babbage, Boole, Frege, Russell, Tarski ...

• Turing (1930's)

- Turing Machine (TM)
- Turing Test "Operationalizing" Intelligence
 - Machine's ability to demonstrate intelligence
 - Human Judge "converses" with human and machine
 - BOTH try to appear human
 - All participants are placed in isolated locations
 - If Judge cannot reliably tell the machine from the human, the machine Passes the test

The Test itself



Turing-Church Thesis:

If a problem is not solvable by a TM, it is not solvable by people either

• Leibniz, Babbage, Boole, Frege, Russell, Tarski ...

Turing (1930's)

- Turing Machine (TM)
- Turing Test "Operationalizing" Intelligence
 - Machine's ability to demonstrate intelligence
 - Human Judge "converses" with human and machine
 - BOTH try to appear human
 - All participants are placed in isolated locations
 - If Judge cannot reliably tell the machine from the human, the machine Passes the test

The Test itself



• Turing-Church Thesis:

If a problem is not solvable by a TM, it is not solvable by people either

・ロト ・ 聞 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

• Leibniz, Babbage, Boole, Frege, Russell, Tarski ...

Turing (1930's)

- Turing Machine (TM)
- Turing Test "Operationalizing" Intelligence
 - Machine's ability to demonstrate intelligence
 - Human Judge "converses" with human and machine
 - BOTH try to appear human
 - All participants are placed in isolated locations
 - If Judge cannot reliably tell the machine from the human, the machine Passes the test

The Test itself



• Turing-Church Thesis:

If a problem is not solvable by a TM, it is not solvable by people either

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

• 1940s: McCulloch-Pitts, Wiener, Ashby

- Neuron models
- Cybernetics Feedback
- Teleological behavior
 - Study of design and purpose
 - All things to be designed for or directed toward a final result
 - There is an inherent purpose or final cause for all that exists
- Homeostat
 - Device built by Ashby in 1948
 - Adaptive ultrastable system from four bomb control units
 - Had inputs and feedback
 - Used magnetically-driven water-filled potentiometers
 - Stabilizes effects of disturbances introduced into the system
 - Time: "Closest thing to a synthetic brain... designed by man'

1940s: McCulloch-Pitts, Wiener, Ashby

- Neuron models
- Cybernetics Feedback
- Teleological behavior
 - Study of design and purpose
 - All things to be designed for or directed toward a final result
 - There is an inherent purpose or final cause for all that exists
- Homeostat
 - Device built by Ashby in 1948
 - Adaptive ultrastable system from four bomb control units
 - Had inputs and feedback
 - Used magnetically-driven water-filled potentiometers
 - Stabilizes effects of disturbances introduced into the system
 - Time: "Closest thing to a synthetic brain... designed by man'

• 1940s: McCulloch-Pitts, Wiener, Ashby

- Neuron models
- Cybernetics Feedback
- Teleological behavior
 - Study of design and purpose
 - All things to be designed for or directed toward a final result
 - There is an inherent purpose or final cause for all that exists

Homeostat

- Device built by Ashby in 1948
- Adaptive ultrastable system from four bomb control units
- Had inputs and feedback
- Used magnetically-driven water-filled potentiometers
- Stabilizes effects of disturbances introduced into the system
- Time: "Closest thing to a synthetic brain... designed by man"

1940s: Walter, von Neumann

- Machina Speculatrix (Elmer 1948, and Elsie 1949)
 - First electronic autonomous robots
 - Rich connections between a small number of brain cells -Very complex behaviors
 - Described as tortoises due to their shape and slow motion
 - Taught us" about the secrets of organization and life
 - Three-wheeled tortoise robots
 - Could find their way to a recharging station
- Self-reproducing automata
 - Self-replication: Process by which a thing copies of itself
 - Self-reproductive systems:Produce copies of themselves
 - Primitives: From metal bar and wire
 - Self-assembling systems
 - Assemble copies of themselves from finished parts
 - Self-reproducing "computer programs"

1940s: Walter, von Neumann

- Machina Speculatrix (Elmer 1948, and Elsie 1949)
 - First electronic autonomous robots
 - Rich connections between a small number of brain cells -Very complex behaviors
 - Described as tortoises due to their shape and slow motion
 - "Taught us" about the secrets of organization and life
 - Three-wheeled tortoise robots
 - Could find their way to a recharging station
- Self-reproducing automata
 - Self-replication: Process by which a thing copies of itself
 - Self-reproductive systems: Produce copies of themselves
 - Primitives: From metal bar and wire
 - Self-assembling systems
 - Assemble copies of themselves from finished parts
 - Self-reproducing "computer programs"

- 1950s: Simon, Newell, McCarthy, Minsky: "Al" (1956)
 - Fundamentals of Classification
 - Neural networks
 - Perceptron

History of AI: Since 1960's

- 1960s: Lisp, Adaline, Fuzzy sets (Zadeh 65)
- 1960s: General Problem Solver (GPS), Logic Theory
- 1970s: Backpropogation, Fuzzy Controllers
- 1970s: Knowledge Engineering, Genetic Algorithms (GA)
- 1970s: Production systems, Expert systems
- 1970s: Natural Language Processing (NLP)
- SHRDLU
 - SHRDLU was an early NLP developed by Winograd at MIT
 - Micro Planner and Lisp programming language on a PDP-6
 - SHRDLU was derived from ETAOIN SHRDLU
 - Arrangement of the alpha keys on a Linotype machine in descending frequency order

History of Al: Since 1960's

- 1960s: Lisp, Adaline, Fuzzy sets (Zadeh 65)
- 1960s: General Problem Solver (GPS), Logic Theory
- 1970s: Backpropogation, Fuzzy Controllers
- 1970s: Knowledge Engineering, Genetic Algorithms (GA)
- 1970s: Production systems, Expert systems
- 1970s: Natural Language Processing (NLP)
- SHRDLU
 - SHRDLU was an early NLP developed by Winograd at MIT
 - Micro Planner and Lisp programming language on a PDP-6
 - SHRDLU was derived from ETAOIN SHRDLU
 - Arrangement of the alpha keys on a Linotype machine in descending frequency order

- 1970s: Theorem proving, Planning
- 1980s: NN / Connectionist boom, Boltzmann Machine
- 1980s: Knowledge Representation (KR)
- 1980s: More semantics in NLP (Conceptual Dependency)
- 1980s: Symbolic Machine Learning (ML)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

More NN

• Subsumption Architecture (Brooks)

- Decompose complicated intelligent behaviour
- Many "simple" behaviour modules organized into layers
- Each layer implements a particular goal
- Higher layers are increasingly abstract
- A robot's layers:
 - Lowest layer could be "avoid an object".
 - On top of it would be the layer "wander around"
 - "Which in turn lies under "explore the world"
- Uses a bottom-up design

More NN

Subsumption Architecture (Brooks)

- Decompose complicated intelligent behaviour
- Many "simple" behaviour modules organized into layers
- Each layer implements a particular goal
- Higher layers are increasingly abstract
- A robot's layers:
 - Lowest layer could be "avoid an object"
 - On top of it would be the layer "wander around"
 - Which in turn lies under "explore the world"
- Uses a bottom-up design

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

- Reinforcement Learning
- Bayesian Belief Nets
- Data Mining
- More NN, More GA, GP, Artificial-Life
- More GAs, Genetic Programming (GP), Artificial-Life
- Bottom-up or behavior-based AI" vs "Top-down AI"
- "Emergent Computing", Swarm Intelligence
- Self-Organization...

- Reinforcement Learning
- Bayesian Belief Nets
- Data Mining
- More NN, More GA, GP, Artificial-Life
- More GAs, Genetic Programming (GP), Artificial-Life
- "Bottom-up or behavior-based AI" vs "Top-down AI"
- "Emergent Computing", Swarm Intelligence
- Self-Organization...

• Intelligence is:

- Intellectual (?) behavior that we admire
- But don't understand
- Intelligence is manifested in behavior
- Closely related to surviving in a complex world
- Or ...

Engineering vs "Cognitive Science"

- Making usefully smart machines, somehow:
 - Expert systems; Deep Blue; some Data Mining
- Understanding how minds work
 - AI to express and test psychological/linguistic etc. theories

- Classical/Top-down / Symbolic vs Behavior-based / Bottom-up / Subsymbolic Mind vs Brain
 - "Physical symbol system hypothesis"
 - Hi-level approach is brittle
 - Bottom-up approach often unimpressive

Scruffies vs Neats

Classical/Top-down / Symbolic vs Behavior-based / Bottom-up / Subsymbolic Mind vs Brain

"Physical symbol system hypothesis"

- Hi-level approach is brittle
- Bottom-up approach often unimpressive

Scruffies vs Neats

Kinds of Al

Weak AI vs Strong AI

- Chinese Room argument (John Searle)
- No such things as AI...
- An experiment: Someone who knows only English
- Sits alone in a room following English instructions for manipulating strings of Chinese characters
- To those outside the room it appears as if someone in the room understands Chinese.
- Shows that while computers may *appear* to converse in natural language, they cannot even in principle.
- Searle argues that computers merely use syntactic rules to manipulate symbol strings
- Have no understanding of meaning or semantics.

- Tradeoffs: Efficiency and Generality
- Tradeoffs: Robustness and Power
- Tradeoffs: Design complexity Ability to degrade gracefully
- Tradeoffs: Prior cooking and Achievement
- Tradeoffs: Memory and Inference
- Above All Tradeoffs: Memory and Time

- Tradeoffs: Efficiency and Generality
- Tradeoffs: Robustness and Power
- Tradeoffs: Design complexity Ability to degrade gracefully
- Tradeoffs: Prior cooking and Achievement
- Tradeoffs: Memory and Inference
- Above All Tradeoffs: Memory and Time

- Tradeoffs: Efficiency and Generality
- Tradeoffs: Robustness and Power

• Tradeoffs: Design complexity - Ability to degrade gracefully

- Tradeoffs: Prior cooking and Achievement
- Tradeoffs: Memory and Inference
- Above All Tradeoffs: Memory and Time

- Tradeoffs: Efficiency and Generality
- Tradeoffs: Robustness and Power
- Tradeoffs: Design complexity Ability to degrade gracefully

• Tradeoffs: Prior cooking and Achievement

- Tradeoffs: Memory and Inference
- Above All Tradeoffs: Memory and Time

- Tradeoffs: Efficiency and Generality
- Tradeoffs: Robustness and Power
- Tradeoffs: Design complexity Ability to degrade gracefully
- Tradeoffs: Prior cooking and Achievement
- Tradeoffs: Memory and Inference
- Above All Tradeoffs: Memory and Time

- Tradeoffs: Efficiency and Generality
- Tradeoffs: Robustness and Power
- Tradeoffs: Design complexity Ability to degrade gracefully
- Tradeoffs: Prior cooking and Achievement
- Tradeoffs: Memory and Inference
- Above All Tradeoffs: Memory and Time

AI must beScruffy...

- Neatness is impossible in complex domains
- Complex domains: Structure that requires solutions
- Found by exploring branching paths in a search space
 - No. of branches is exponential function of path depth
- Any intelligent agent needs to find tricks and shortcuts
- Even in formally specified domains!
- Unless: Infinitely large and fast computers
- Good shortcuts cannot be worked out in advance
- They are not perfect even in mathematics
- Shortcuts & laziness: Go hand in hand ...
- Key to intelligence (Gauss 1..100)

AI must beScruffy...

- Neatness is impossible in complex domains
- Complex domains: Structure that requires solutions
- Found by exploring branching paths in a search space
 No. of branches is exponential function of path depth
- Any intelligent agent needs to find tricks and shortcuts
- Even in formally specified domains!
- Unless: Infinitely large and fast computers
- Good shortcuts cannot be worked out in advance
- They are not perfect even in mathematics
- Shortcuts & laziness: Go hand in hand...
- Key to intelligence (Gauss 1..100)

AI must beScruffy...

- Neatness is impossible in complex domains
- Complex domains: Structure that requires solutions
- Found by exploring branching paths in a search space
 No. of branches is exponential function of path depth
- Any intelligent agent needs to find tricks and shortcuts
- Even in formally specified domains!
- Unless: Infinitely large and fast computers
- Good shortcuts cannot be worked out in advance
- They are not perfect even in mathematics
- Shortcuts & laziness: Go hand in hand...
- Key to intelligence (Gauss 1..100)

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

The Real World is even Harder

- Lack of complete initial information
- Range of things to do is large (branching factor!)
- Search spaces are huge
- Things happen fast
- There are deadlines
- Rapidly accessible and executable heuristics
- Must be learned by trial and error (for example)
- Such heuristic rules are bound to be fallible
 - Overgeneralization
 - Poor observations, weak sensors
 - Errors in measurement
 - Inadequate concepts
 - Noise, environmental variance etc...

The Real World is even Harder

- Lack of complete initial information
- Range of things to do is large (branching factor!)
- Search spaces are huge
- Things happen fast
- There are deadlines
- Rapidly accessible and executable heuristics
- Must be learned by trial and error (for example)
- Such heuristic rules are bound to be fallible
 - Overgeneralization
 - Poor observations, weak sensors
 - Errors in measurement
 - Inadequate concepts
 - Noise, environmental variance etc...

The Real World is even Harder

- Lack of complete initial information
- Range of things to do is large (branching factor!)
- Search spaces are huge
- Things happen fast
- There are deadlines
- Rapidly accessible and executable heuristics
- Must be learned by trial and error (for example)
- Such heuristic rules are bound to be fallible
 - Overgeneralization
 - Poor observations, weak sensors
 - Errors in measurement
 - Inadequate concepts
 - Noise, environmental variance etc...

• Rules and facts should be consistent

- Consistency is undecidable
- (Approximate) Consistency checking is explosive
- Maintaining consistency also explosive
- To revise a belief, you need
 - Fallible heuristics
 - Allow for finding related beliefs
 - Identifying and retracting underlying assumptions etc.
- A huge reason maintenance system won't do

• Rules and facts should be consistent

- Consistency is undecidable
- (Approximate) Consistency checking is explosive
- Maintaining consistency also explosive

• To revise a belief, you need

- Fallible heuristics
- Allow for finding related beliefs
- Identifying and retracting underlying assumptions etc.

• A huge reason maintenance system won't do

• Rules and facts should be consistent

- Consistency is undecidable
- (Approximate) Consistency checking is explosive
- Maintaining consistency also explosive
- To revise a belief, you need
 - Fallible heuristics
 - Allow for finding related beliefs
 - Identifying and retracting underlying assumptions etc.

• A huge reason maintenance system won't do

- Conceptual schemes: Open-ended
- Unlike formal languages
- There is no formal, recursive semantics for NL:
 - We don't know the extension-assigning functions!
- Concepts:
 - May be indeterminate, vague, or ambiguous
 - Prompt conceptual innovations
 - Empirical concepts: No crisp necess./suff. conditions
 - Many concepts are theoretical

Conceptual schemes: Open-ended

- Unlike formal languages
- There is no formal, recursive semantics for NL:
 - We don't know the extension-assigning functions!

Concepts:

- May be indeterminate, vague, or ambiguous
- Prompt conceptual innovations
- Empirical concepts: No crisp necess./suff. conditions
- Many concepts are theoretical

- Scruffiness Inevitable for any resource-limited being!
- No practical strategy to reduce scruffiness works always
- AI must be scruffy, for neat reasons
- Thus: Study what the history has come up with
 - Of course: Theories about such inevitably scruffy systems
 - As neat as possible (maximally falsifiable etc.!!)

- Scruffiness Inevitable for any resource-limited being!
- No practical strategy to reduce scruffiness works always
- Al must be scruffy, for neat reasons
- Thus: Study what the history has come up with
 - Of course: Theories about such inevitably scruffy systems
 - As neat as possible (maximally falsifiable etc.!!)

- Nearly anything you want to compute you can't !!
 - Because there are countably many Turing machines
 - But Uncountably many functions
- The interesting things you can compute
 - Too expensive to compute
 - So, you can't compute them
 - Exponential worst case run-time functions
 - $T(n) = kC^n$ e.g. 1 input item takes 10^{-7} sec, n=50, complexity is $2^n : 20 * 10^{13}$ years
- Biological systems must use approximate solutions
 - Learning: On-line regularity detection for prediction
 - Experimentation and mental simulation
- "To be adaptable, an organism must be suboptimal" (Gould)

- Nearly anything you want to compute you can't !!
 - Because there are countably many Turing machines
 - But Uncountably many functions
- The interesting things you can compute
 - Too expensive to compute
 - So, you can't compute them
 - Exponential worst case run-time functions
 - $T(n) = kC^n$ e.g. 1 input item takes 10^{-7} sec, n=50, complexity is $2^n : 20 * 10^{13}$ years
- Biological systems must use approximate solutions
 - Learning: On-line regularity detection for prediction
 - Experimentation and mental simulation
- "To be adaptable, an organism must be suboptimal" (Gould)

- Nearly anything you want to compute you can't !!
 - Because there are countably many Turing machines
 - But Uncountably many functions
- The interesting things you can compute
 - Too expensive to compute
 - So, you can't compute them
 - Exponential worst case run-time functions
 - $T(n) = kC^n$ e.g. 1 input item takes 10^{-7} sec, n=50, complexity is $2^n : 20 * 10^{13}$ years

Biological systems must use approximate solutions

- Learning: On-line regularity detection for prediction
- Experimentation and mental simulation
- "To be adaptable, an organism must be suboptimal" (Gould)

- Nearly anything you want to compute you can't !!
 - Because there are countably many Turing machines
 - But Uncountably many functions
- The interesting things you can compute
 - Too expensive to compute
 - So, you can't compute them
 - Exponential worst case run-time functions
 - $T(n) = kC^n$ e.g. 1 input item takes 10^{-7} sec, n=50, complexity is $2^n : 20 * 10^{13}$ years
- Biological systems must use approximate solutions
 - Learning: On-line regularity detection for prediction
 - Experimentation and mental simulation
- "To be adaptable, an organism must be suboptimal" (Gould)

Many fields have contributed to AI

- In the form of ideas, viewpoints and techniques
- Philosophy: Logic, reasoning, mind as a physical system
- Mathematics: Formal representation and proofs
- Mathematics: Computation, (un)decidability, (in)tractability
- Mathematics: Probability, fuzzy theory
- Psychology: Learning, perception, motor control
- Economics: Theory of rational decisions, game theory

Other fields that have contributed to AI:

- Linguistics: Knowledge representation, grammar
- Neuroscience: Physical substrate for mental activities
- Biology: Adaptation, evolution of complex systems
- Controls: Homeostatic systems, stability, optimal agents
- Complex Systems Theory etc. etc....



- Think like humans
 - Cognitive modelling (AI + Psychology)
- Act like humans
 - Turing test approach: needs NLP, KR, ML, ...
- Think rationally
 - First-Order-Logic based problem solving and planning
 - Closely related to automated theorem proving
- Act rationally
 - A rational agent acts so as to achieve its goals
 - Given its beliefs & limited rationality
- Autonomous agents, robots, evolutionary computation

Some Subareas of Al...

Heuristic search

• Problem solving, planning, game playing

Theorem proving

- Knowledge-based (KB) systems
 - Knowledge Engineering (KE);
 - Knowledge Representation (KR); Expert systems
- Natural Language Processing (NLP)
 - Story understanding
 - Speech recognition
 - Question answering

Heuristic search

• Problem solving, planning, game playing

- Theorem proving
- Knowledge-based (KB) systems
 - Knowledge Engineering (KE);
 - Knowledge Representation (KR); Expert systems
- Natural Language Processing (NLP)
 - Story understanding
 - Speech recognition
 - Question answering

Heuristic search

- Problem solving, planning, game playing
- Theorem proving
- Knowledge-based (KB) systems
 - Knowledge Engineering (KE);
 - Knowledge Representation (KR); Expert systems
- Natural Language Processing (NLP)
 - Story understanding
 - Speech recognition
 - Question answering

- Perception
- Vision
- Robotics
- Machine Learning
- Pattern Recognition



Intelligence is (?) Reasoning + Knowledge

Reasoning

- Universal inference methods
- "Weak" methods, e.g. hill climbing
- Domain-independent search through symbolic state spaces

26/1

Problem-solving/planning theorem proving - first principles

Knowledge

- Universal methods \rightarrow combinatorial explosion
- "Strong" methods:
 - Heuristics
 - Domain-dependent knowledge
 - Shallow deductions

• Expert systems

Intelligence is (?) Reasoning + Knowledge

Reasoning

- Universal inference methods
- "Weak" methods, e.g. hill climbing
- Domain-independent search through symbolic state spaces

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)

26/1

• Problem-solving/planning theorem proving - first principles

Knowledge

- $\bullet \ \ Universal \ methods \rightarrow combinatorial \ explosion$
- Strong" methods:
 - Heuristics
 - Domain-dependent knowledge
 - Shallow deductions
- Expert systems

Build a person / animal



Internal representation:

- Not NL
- All representations inter-translatable
- Unambiguous, explicit referents, only gist remembered
- Support inferences

Why is AI not just "Learning"?



< □ > < @ > < 볼 > < 볼 > 볼 - 의 < ⊙ < @ 28/1

- To learn anything you should already "know" a lot
- Without strong clues of domain, nothing is learned

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ → 重 → のへ⊙

29/1

• There are many kinds of learning...